

# Tentamen Toegepaste Logica

22 maart 2000

**Answers may be given in Dutch or English. Good luck!**

**Exercise 1.** This question concerns minimal logic and simply typed lambda calculus.

- a. Give a proof of the formula

$$((D \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$$

in minimal logic such that the proof contains a detour and doesn't contain open assumptions.

(5 points)

- b. Give the typing derivation corresponding to the proof given as answer to question 1a.

(5 points)

- c. Reduce the term of the answer to question 1b to beta-normal form (it is not necessary to give the typing derivation).

(2 points)

- d. Give the proof corresponding to (the typing derivation) of the term found as answer to question 1c.

(5 points)

**Exercise 2.** This question concerns minimal logic and simply typed lambda calculus.

- a. What concept in lambda calculus does a formula correspond to? Explain this correspondence in detail.

(3 points)

- b. What concept in lambda calculus does a proof correspond to? Explain this correspondence in detail.

(3 points)

- c. What concept in lambda calculus does a detour correspond to? Explain this correspondence in detail.  
(3 points)
- d. What is provability? To which concept in simply typed lambda calculus does it correspond?  
(3 points)

**Exercise 3.**

- a. Suppose that the type `nat` of natural numbers is already defined. Give and explain the definition of an inductive type `natlist` of (finite) lists of natural numbers in Coq.  
(5 points)
- b. Give the type of the term `natlist_ind` that is automatically generated by Coq once the inductive definition of `natlist` is given.  
(5 points)
- c. Explain how the term `natlist_ind` is used for induction on lists of natural numbers.  
(5 points)
- d. Explain the use of the tactic `Inversion`.  
(5 points)

**Exercise 4.**

- a. Explain a naive attempt to prove termination of simply typed lambda calculus, and explain why it doesn't work.  
(5 points)
- b. Give the definition of  $X \rightarrow Y$ , with  $X$  and  $Y$  sets of lambda terms.  
(3 points)
- c. The proof of termination of simply typed lambda calculus as given in the course notes makes use of the *interpretation* of a type  $A$ , written as  $[A]$ . How is the interpretation  $[A]$  of a type  $A$  defined?  
(5 points)
- d. Prove, by induction on the typing derivation, that every simply typed lambda term is terminating. Use the result  $[A \rightarrow B] = [A] \rightarrow [B]$ .  
(5 points)

**Exercise 5.** This question concerns  $\lambda$ -calculus with dependent types ( $\lambda P$ ).

- a. A fragment of  $\lambda P$  corresponds to a logic. Which logic?  
(3 points)
- b. Give an example of the use of the application rule where dependent types are present.  
(5 points)
- c. The typing system for  $\lambda P$  contains the conversion rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : B \quad \Gamma \vdash B' : s}{\Gamma \vdash A : B'}$$

with  $B =_\beta B'$ . Explain why this rule is necessary.  
(5 points)

**Exercise 6.** This question concerns  $\lambda$ -calculus with polymorphic types ( $\lambda P2$ ).

- a. The function `length` takes as input a list of natural numbers, and gives as output a natural number, namely the length of that list.  
We wish to consider a polymorphic version of the length-function, denoted by `plength`, where the type of the elements of the list is a parameter. What is the type of the function `plength`?  
(5 points)
- b. Let the type of natural numbers be written as `Nat` and the type of booleans as `Bool`. Explain how `plength` can be used to obtain a length-function for lists of natural numbers, and how it can be used to obtain a length-function for lists of booleans.  
(5 points)

*Het tentamencijfer is (het totaal aantal punten plus 10) gedeeld door 10.*