



Exam Logical Verification

January 14, 2004

There are six (6) exercises.

Answers may be given in Dutch or English. Good luck!

Exercise 1. This exercise is concerned with first-order minimal propositional logic and simply typed λ -calculus.

- a. Show that the formula $((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C) \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ is a tautology.
(That is, give a proof in which all assumptions are cancelled.)
(5 points)
- b. Give the type derivation in simply typed λ -calculus corresponding to the proof of 1a.
(5 points)
- c. Replace in the following three terms the ?'s by simple types, such that we obtain typable λ -terms. (NB: it is not asked to give the type derivations.)
 $\lambda x : ?. \lambda y : ?. \lambda z : ?. (x z) (y z)$
 $\lambda x : ?. \lambda y : ?. x (x y)$
 $\lambda x : ?. \lambda y : ?. \lambda z : ?. (y x) (x z)$
(6 points)
- d. Give a proof of $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$ with a detour, and in which all assumptions are cancelled.
(4 points)

Exercise 2. This exercise is concerned with first-order minimal propositional logic and simply typed λ -calculus, and consistency.

- a. What is the inhabitation problem in simply typed λ -calculus?
(3 points)
- b. The inhabitation problem corresponds via the Curry-Howard-De Bruijn isomorphism to a problem in first-order minimal propositional logic.
What problem?
(2 points)

- c. Give the correspondence between proofs in first-order minimal propositional logic and terms in simply typed λ -calculus in detail.
(5 points)
- d. If a type is inhabited by a closed term, then it is inhabited by a closed term in β -normal form. A closed term in β -normal form is of the form $\lambda x : A. M$.
Does a closed inhabitant of the type \perp exist? Why (not)?
(5 points)
- e. Now consider \perp as a formula in first-order minimal propositional logic. What can we conclude using 2abcd?
(2 points)

Exercise 3. This exercise is concerned with inductive types in Coq.

- a. Give the inductive definition of the datatype `nat` of natural numbers.
(5 points)
- b. Give the type of `nat_ind` which is used to give proofs by induction on the natural numbers.
(5 points)
- c. Give the definition of the inductive type `bintree` of binary trees with natural numbers both on the *leaves* and on the *nodes*.
(5 points)

Exercise 4. This exercise is concerned with first-order predicate logic.

- a. Give the two detours of first-order minimal predicate logic.
(5 points)
- b. Show that $\forall x. (P(x) \rightarrow \neg(\forall x. \neg P(x)))$ is a tautology of first-order minimal predicate logic with \perp .
(5 points)

Exercise 5. This exercise is concerned with λ -calculus with dependent types (λP).

- a. We assume a constructor `natlist_dep` that is used to build the type ‘lists of natural numbers of length n ’ for every $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.
What is the type of `natlist_dep`?
(3 points)
- b. A typing rule that is characteristic for λP is the following:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \text{Set} \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash B : \text{Type}}{\Gamma \vdash (x:A) B : \text{Type}}$$

Explain the use of this rule in the `natlist_dep` example. (Hint: think of 5a.)
(5 points)

- c. First-order propositional logic can be encoded in Coq using dependent types as follows:

```
(* prop representing the propositions is a Set *)
Variable prop:Set.
(* implication on prop is a binary operator *)
Variable imp: prop -> prop -> prop.
(* T expresses if a proposition in prop is valid
   if (T p) is inhabited then p is valid
   if (T p) is not inhabited then p is not valid *)
Variable T: prop -> Prop.
```

Give the types of the variables `imp_introduction` and `imp_elimination` modelling the introduction- and elimination rule of implication.
(5 points)

Exercise 6. This exercise is concerned with polymorphic λ -calculus ($\lambda 2$).

- a. Define the type `new_or`

$$(\text{new_or } A B) = (c:\text{Prop}) (A \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow c) \rightarrow c$$

Assume $\Gamma \vdash a : A$. Give an inhabitant of $(\text{new_or } A B)$.

(NB: it is not asked to give the type derivation.)
(5 points)

- b. Assume `new_or` as in 6a, and in addition $\Gamma \vdash f : A \rightarrow D$, and $\Gamma \vdash g : B \rightarrow D$, and $\Gamma \vdash M : (\text{new_or } A B)$. Give an inhabitant of D .

(NB: it is not asked to give the type derivation.)
(5 points)

- c. We define the booleans `B` and `true` (`T`) and `false` (`F`) as follows:

```
B = (a:Set) a → a → a
T = λa:Set. λx:a. λy:a. x
F = λa:Set. λx:a. λy:a. y
```

Give a definition of negation in $\lambda 2$.
(5 points)

The final note is (the total amount of points plus 10) divided by 10.