## Final exam Stochastic Modelling (X<sub>4</sub>00646)

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Faculty of Science

December 21, 2022, 12:15–14:30

This exam consists of three exercises, for which you can obtain 45 points in total. Your grade will be calculated as (number of points +5)/5. The use of books or a graphical calculator is not allowed. At the end of the exam, a formula sheet is included; you can use these formulas without proof if relevant. Please write your name and student number on every paper you hand in and **provide a clear motivation** of all your answers. Good luck!

- Question 1. Consider an M/M/2/4 system where customers (attempt to) arrive at rate  $\lambda = 2$  and each of the two servers has service rate  $\mu = 1$ . Recall that, next to the two spots at the servers, this system only has two spots in the waiting room. Those customers which, upon arrival, do not find a free server or a free spot in the waiting room are lost.
- (a) [2pt] Formulate a CTMC based on which you can answer the subsequent parts of the question.
- (b) [5pt] What is the fraction of time that each of the following situations occur: (i) the system is empty, (ii) the waiting room is full?
- (c) [2pt] What fraction of customers are lost and why?
- (d) [2pt] What is the time-average number of customers in the waiting room?
- (e) [4pt] Now assume that the 2 servers are not identical: one is faster and the other is slower. The service times at the fast server are distributed exponentially with rate  $\mu_1 = 1.5$ , and at the slow server exponentially with rate  $\mu_2 = 0.5$ . A customer only has a choice which server to go to if that customer arrives into an empty system; in that case the customer goes to the fast server. In all other cases, the customer goes to whichever server is available at the moment. Model this new situation as a CTMC.

*Hint*: this new situation requires one state more than the original situation.

Question 2. Consider a channel that transmits packages one at a time and has an infinite buffer (i.e., an infinite queue for packages). The packages are generated according to a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda$ ; all transmission times are independent and distributed exponentially with rate  $\mu$ . There is also a patience threshold K, meaning: if a new package is generated when there are already K or more packages at the channel (including the package currently in transmission), then the new package remains at this channel with probability p or gets rerouted elsewhere with probability 1-p.

(a) [4pt] Argue that the number of packages at the channel (in total in transmission and in the buffer) is a CTMC.

(b) [2pt] Argue intuitively what is the stability condition for this CTMC. In particular, does the specific value of K matter?

(c) [5pt] Find the limit and occupancy distribution. In particular, derive that

$$p_0^{lim} = p_0^{occ} = \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} (\lambda/\mu)^i + \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^K}{1 - \lambda p/\mu} \right]^{-1}.$$

*Hint:* For normalisation, group states  $i \geq K$ .

(d) [4pt] Derive the fraction of rerouted packages based on your answer to (c). In particular, if you obtained the correct answer in (c), you should obtain the fraction of rerouted customers

$$\Pi_{loss} = (1 - p) \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^K}{1 - \lambda p/\mu} p_0^{occ}.$$

Question 3. Jobs arrive at a server according to a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda = 1/3$ . For 1/4 of the jobs, their service times have a normal  $N(4, 1^2)$  distribution. The remaining 3/4 of the jobs require a fixed service time 2.

(a) [5pt] Assume the FIFO discipline at the server and find the average waiting time  $\mathbb{E}W$ .

(b) [3pt] The system under consideration is the result of pooling. Previously, the varying-size jobs and fixed-size jobs were served at two separate servers, each twice as slow as the server in the present system. Has the pooling been beneficial for the fixed-sized jobs? Why yes or why not?

For questions (c) and (d) assume that, in the pooled system, the service discipline is not FIFO but instead the  $N(4, 1^2)$ -sized jobs have a non-preemptive priority over the jobs of fixed size 2.

- (c) [2pt] Is the Pollaczek-Khinchine formula applicable in this new situation in order to find the average waiting time across all jobs together? Why yes or why not?
- (d) [5pt] Determine the average waiting time  $\mathbb{E}W_1$  of the high-priority jobs by doing Mean Value Analysis for this group.

## FORMULA SHEET

**Erlang distribution.** If  $S_n$  has an Erlang $(n, \mu)$  distribution, then

$$P(S_n > t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\mu t} \frac{(\mu t)^k}{k!}$$
 and  $f_{S_n}(t) = \mu e^{-\mu t} \frac{(\mu t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$ .

**Residual time till next event.** Let X be a generic inter-event time and R the residual time till next event. Then

$$P(R \le x) = \frac{1}{E(X)} \int_0^x P(X > u) du$$
 and  $E(R) = \frac{E(X^2)}{2E(X)}$ .

M/G/1 queue. The waiting time W under FIFO and the busy period BP under work-conserving disciplines satisfy

$$E(W) = \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho} \frac{E(B^2)}{2E(B)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho} (1 + c_B^2) E(B), \text{ where } c_B^2 = \frac{V(B)}{(E(B))^2}$$

$$E(BP) = \frac{E(B)}{1 - \rho}.$$

 $\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{c}$  queue. The probability of waiting  $\Pi_W$ , waiting time W and so-journ time S satisfy

$$\Pi_W = \frac{(c\rho)^c/c!}{(1-\rho)\sum_{i=0}^{c-1}(c\rho)^i/i! + (c\rho)^c/c!},$$

$$E(W) = \Pi_W \frac{1}{c\mu(1-\rho)} \quad \text{and} \quad P(W > t) = \Pi_W e^{-c\mu(1-\rho)t},$$

$$P(S > t) = \frac{\Pi_W}{1 - c(1-\rho)} e^{-c\mu(1-\rho)t} + \left(1 - \frac{\Pi_W}{1 - c(1-\rho)}\right) e^{-\mu t}.$$

M/G/c/c queue. The blocking probability is

$$B(c, a) = \frac{a^c/c!}{\sum_{i=0}^c a^i/i!} \quad \text{with } a = \lambda E(B) = c\rho.$$