

Exercise 1 [13 points]

Delivery services by two pizza companies, A and B, are compared. In particular, we would like to find out whether delivery by one pizza company is faster than delivery by the other. We have recorded delivery times $X_1, \dots, X_{10}$ (in minutes) for a sample of 10 orders from pizza company A, and delivery times $Y_1, \dots, Y_{10}$ (in minutes) for a sample of 10 orders from pizza company B. The data summarize to

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X} &= 20.23, & S_X &= 2.74, & \bar{Y} &= 18.68, & S_Y &= 1.64, \\ \bar{Z} &= 1.65, & S_Z &= 3.07, & \text{where } Z_i &= X_i - Y_i.\end{aligned}$$

Do the data present evidence that one pizza company delivers orders faster than the other? Carry out a suitable test at significance level 0.05. Report

- (a) [2 points] the statistical model (all assumptions you make on $X_1, \dots, X_{10}$, $Y_1, \dots, Y_{10}$, and the parameters),

$X_1, \dots, X_{10} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(\mu_A, \sigma^2)$, $Y_1, \dots, Y_{10} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(\mu_B, \sigma^2)$,
the two samples are independent and have the same variance σ^2 .

- (b) [2 points] the null and alternative hypotheses,

We are looking for evidence of $\mu_A \neq \mu_B$ (putting this in H_1).
So we want to test $H_0 : \mu_A = \mu_B$ VS $H_1 : \mu_A \neq \mu_B$.

- (c) [3 points] the test statistic and its distribution when delivery times by the two companies are, on average, the same,

Hint: If $X_1, \dots, X_m \sim N(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2)$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim N(\mu_B, \sigma_B^2)$, all independent, then $\frac{(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_A - \mu_B)}{\sqrt{\sigma_A^2/m + \sigma_B^2/n}}$, $\frac{(m-1)S_X^2}{\sigma_A^2} \sim \chi_{m-1}^2$, $\frac{(n-1)S_Y^2}{\sigma_B^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$ are all independent. Also, if $N(0, 1)$ and χ_k^2 are independent, then $\frac{N(0, 1)}{\sqrt{\chi_k^2/k}} \sim t_k$.

It follows from the hint that, if $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_B^2 = \sigma^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_A - \mu_B)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/m + \sigma^2/n}} &\sim N(0, 1) \\ \sqrt{\left(\frac{(m-1)S_X^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(n-1)S_Y^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{m+n-2}^2\right) / (m+n-2)} &\sim t_{m+n-2}.\end{aligned}$$

In the above, σ cancels out, and we obtain

$$\frac{(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_A - \mu_B)}{S_{X,Y} \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}}} \sim t_{m+n-2},$$

where

$$S_{X,Y}^2 = \frac{(m-1)S_X^2 + (n-1)S_Y^2}{m+n-2}$$

is the pooled variance.

Below we carry out the two-sample t -test, where the test statistic is

$$T = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{S_{X,Y} \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}}}.$$

From the above, $T \sim t_{18}$ when $\mu_A = \mu_B$.

(d) [2 points] the critical region,

Reject $H_0: \mu_A = \mu_B$ if $|T| > t_{m+n-2, 1-\alpha/2}$, where α is the significance level.

(e) [4 points] the conclusion.

We have $m = n = 10$, $\alpha = 0.05$, and

$$t_{m+n-2, 1-\alpha/2} = t_{18, 0.975} = 2.1.$$

We observe

$$S_{X,Y} = \sqrt{\frac{9 * 2.74^2 + 9 * 1.64^2}{18}} = 2.258,$$

$$T = \frac{20.23 - 18.68}{2.258 \sqrt{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10}}} = 1.535.$$

Since $|1.535| \not> 2.1$, we fail to reject H_0 . That is, the data present no evidence that one pizza company delivers faster than the other.

Exercise 2 [13 points]

Let $X_1, \dots, X_5$ be an i.i.d. sample from the exponential $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ distribution, and $Y_1, \dots, Y_5$ an i.i.d. sample from the $\sqrt{\text{Exp}(\lambda)}$ distribution, i.e. $Y_i^2 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$. The two samples are independent. The parameter λ is unknown.

(a) [3 points] Construct a pivot out of the sample $X_1, \dots, X_5$. What is the distribution of this pivot?

Hint: You can use without derivation the following facts: if $U \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$, then $\lambda U \sim \text{Exp}(1)$; if $U_1, \dots, U_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Exp}(1)$, then $2 \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \sim \chi_{2n}^2$.

Following the hint, $U_1 = \lambda X_1, \dots, U_5 = \lambda X_5 \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Exp}(1)$, and hence

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^5 U_i = 2 \sum_{i=1}^5 (\lambda X_i) = \underbrace{10\lambda \bar{X}}_{\text{pivot}} \sim \chi_{10}^2.$$

(b) [3 points] Construct a pivot out of both samples, see the hint in (a). What is the distribution of this pivot?

We have $Y_1^2, \dots, Y_5^2 \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda)$. Following the hint,

$U_1 = \lambda X_1, \dots, U_5 = \lambda X_5, U_6 = \lambda Y_1^2, \dots, U_{10} = \lambda Y_5^2 \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Exp}(1)$, and hence

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^{10} U_i = 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^5 (\lambda X_i) + \sum_{j=1}^5 (\lambda Y_j^2) \right) = \underbrace{10\lambda(\bar{X} + \bar{Y}^2)}_{\text{pivot}} \sim \chi_{20}^2.$$

(c) [3 points] Based on either of the pivots from (a) or (b) (only one of them), construct a confidence interval for λ of confidence level 0.95.

Using the pivot from (b), we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \chi_{20, 0.025}^2 \leq \underbrace{10\lambda(\bar{X} + \bar{Y}^2)}_{\text{pivot} \sim \chi_{16}^2} \leq \chi_{20, 0.975}^2 \right\} = 0.95.$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\underbrace{\frac{\chi_{20,0.025}^2}{10(\bar{X} + \bar{Y}^2)} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{\chi_{20,0.975}^2}{10(\bar{X} + \bar{Y}^2)}}_{\text{CI for } \lambda \text{ of level } 0.95}\right\} = 0.95.$$

- (d) [4 points] It has been observed that $\bar{X} = 0.8$ and $\bar{Y}^2 = 1.2$. Test whether λ deviates from 2 using the confidence interval from (c). What is the significance level of this test?

We can test $H_0 : \lambda = 2$ VS $H_1 : \lambda \neq 2$ at significance level $\alpha = 0.05$ as follows:

if $2 \notin \text{CI for } \lambda \text{ of confidence level } 1 - \alpha = 0.95$, reject H_0 ; if $2 \in \text{the CI}$, fail to reject H_0 .

With $\bar{X} = 0.8$ and $\bar{Y}^2 = 1.2$ observed, the CI of level 0.95 from (c) is

$$\left[\frac{9.591}{10(0.8 + 1.2)}, \frac{34.17}{10(0.8 + 1.2)} \right] = [0.48, 1.71].$$

Since $2 \notin [0.48, 1.71]$, we reject H_0 at significance level 0.05. I.e. λ does deviate from 2.

Exercise 3 [19 points]

In parts (a) and (b), $X_1, \dots, X_n$ is an i.i.d. sample from the geometric distribution with unknown parameter $p \in (0, 1)$. Recall that the p.m.f. is given by

$$p_p(x) = (1 - p)^{x-1}p, \quad x = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}X_1 = \frac{1}{p}, \quad \mathbb{V}X_1 = \frac{1-p}{p^2}.$$

- (a) [7 points] The maximum likelihood estimator for p is $\hat{p}_{\text{ML}} = 1/\bar{X}$, and i_p denotes the Fisher information (see Appendix 1). For large n , $\sqrt{n i_p}(\hat{p}_{\text{ML}} - p) \approx N(0, 1)$. Construct a confidence interval for p of approximate confidence level $1 - \alpha$.

In the near-pivot given, we estimate the Fisher information (see below), i.e. we are using the near-pivot

$$\sqrt{n \hat{i}_p}(\hat{p}_{\text{ML}} - p) \approx N(0, 1).$$

From the above pivot, the Wald CI for p of confidence level $\approx 1 - \alpha$ follows,

$$p = \hat{p}_{\text{ML}} \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \hat{i}_p}} z_{\alpha/2}.$$

Now we compute/estimate the Fisher information:

$$\ell_p(x) = \log p_p(x) = (x-1) \log(1-p) + \log p, \quad \dot{\ell}_p(x) = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial p} \ell_p(x) = -\frac{x-1}{1-p} + \frac{1}{p},$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} i_p &= \mathbb{V} \dot{\ell}_p(X_1) = \mathbb{V} \left(-\frac{X_1 - 1}{1-p} + \frac{1}{p} \right) = \mathbb{V} \left(-\frac{X_1 - 1}{1-p} \right) = \frac{1}{(1-p)^2} \mathbb{V}(X_1 - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-p)^2} \mathbb{V}X_1 = \frac{1}{(1-p)^2} \frac{1-p}{p^2} = \frac{1}{(1-p)p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We use the plug-in estimator for the Fisher information,

$$\hat{i}_p = \frac{1}{(1 - \hat{p}_{\text{ML}})(\hat{p}_{\text{ML}})^2} = \frac{1}{(1 - 1/\bar{X})(1/\bar{X})^2} = \frac{(\bar{X})^3}{\bar{X} - 1}.$$

So the Wald CI for p of approximate confidence level $1 - \alpha$ is

$$p = \frac{1}{\bar{X}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X} - 1}{n(\bar{X})^3}} z_{\alpha/2}.$$

- (b) [5 points] The maximum likelihood estimator for $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 1/p$ is $\widehat{(1/p)}_{\text{ML}} = \bar{X}$. Does the Cramèr-Rao lower bound (see Appendix 1) imply that $\bar{X}$ is a UMVU estimator for $1/p$?

First of all, note that $\bar{X}$ (sample mean) is an unbiased estimator for $1/p$ (population mean), indeed

$$\mathbb{E}\bar{X} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{=} \mathbb{E}X_1 = \frac{1}{p}.$$

Now we compute the variance of $\bar{X}$ and compare it to the Cramèr-Rao lower bound (CRLB). We have

$$\mathbb{V}\bar{X} = \frac{\mathbb{V}X_1}{n} = \frac{1-p}{np^2}.$$

Since we are estimating $1/p$, we compute the CRLB with $g(p) = 1/p$ and $i_p \stackrel{(a)}{=} \frac{1}{(1-p)p^2}$,

$$\text{CRLB} = \frac{(g'(p))^2}{ni_p} = \frac{(-1/p^2)^2 \cdot (1-p)p^2}{n} = \frac{(1-p)}{np^2}.$$

We have

$$\mathbb{V}\bar{X} = \text{CRLB},$$

while $\mathbb{V}(\widehat{1/p}) \geq \text{CRLB}$ for any other unbiased estimator $\widehat{(1/p)}$ of $1/p$. Hence the Cramèr-Rao lower bound does imply that $\bar{X}$ is an UMVU estimator for $1/p$.

In part (c), $X_1, \dots, X_n$ is an i.i.d. sample from the exponential distribution with unknown *scale* parameter $\theta > 0$, i.e. the p.d.f. is

$$p_\theta(x) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}, \quad x > 0,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}X_1 = \theta.$$

- (c) [7 points] Find a sufficient and complete statistic (see Appendix 1) and a UMVU estimator for θ .

Since

$$L(\theta) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n p_\theta(X_i) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} e^{-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\theta^n}}_{c(\theta)} e^{-\underbrace{(n/\theta)}_{Q_1(\theta)}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{\bar{X}}}_{V_1} \cdot \underbrace{1}_h,$$

the statistical model forms a 1-dimensional exponential family and the statistic $V_1 = \bar{X}$ is sufficient.

Since the set $\{Q_1(\theta) : \theta > 0\} = \{-n/\theta : \theta > 0\} = (-\infty, 0)$ has interior points in $\mathbb{R}^1$ (contains an interval), the statistic $V_1 = \bar{X}$ is also complete.

Finally, consider the estimator $\hat{\theta} := \bar{X}$ for θ . This estimator is unbiased and made out of a sufficient and complete statistic, and hence $\hat{\theta} := \bar{X}$ is a UMVU estimator for θ .

Appendix 1: Some relevant facts

Fisher information Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be i.i.d. with marginal p.d.f./p.m.f. $p_\theta(x)$ and $\ell_\theta(x) := \log p_\theta(x)$, $\dot{\ell}_\theta(x) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell_\theta(x)$, $\ddot{\ell}_\theta(x) := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ell_\theta(x)$. Then the Fisher information is given by

$$i_\theta := \mathbb{V} \dot{\ell}_\theta(X_1) = -\mathbb{E} \ddot{\ell}_\theta(X_1).$$

Cramer-Rao lower bound Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be i.i.d. random variables from a distribution parametrized by θ . Under certain conditions, every unbiased estimator $\widehat{g(\theta)}$ for $g(\theta)$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{V} \widehat{g(\theta)} \geq \frac{(g'(\theta))^2}{ni_\theta}.$$

Exponential family A statistical model parametrized by θ forms a k -dimensional exponential family if the likelihood is of the form

$$L(\theta) = c(\theta) \cdot e^{\sum_{j=1}^k Q_j(\theta) \cdot V_j(X_1, \dots, X_n)} \cdot h(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

Appendix 2: Table t -distribution

df	0.6	0.7	0.75	0.8	0.85	0.9	0.925	0.95	0.975	0.98	0.99	0.999
9	0.26	0.54	0.7	0.88	1.1	1.38	1.57	1.83	2.26	2.4	2.82	4.3
10	0.26	0.54	0.7	0.88	1.09	1.37	1.56	1.81	2.23	2.36	2.76	4.14
11	0.26	0.54	0.7	0.88	1.09	1.36	1.55	1.8	2.2	2.33	2.72	4.02
12	0.26	0.54	0.7	0.87	1.08	1.36	1.54	1.78	2.18	2.3	2.68	3.93
13	0.26	0.54	0.69	0.87	1.08	1.35	1.53	1.77	2.16	2.28	2.65	3.85
14	0.26	0.54	0.69	0.87	1.08	1.35	1.52	1.76	2.14	2.26	2.62	3.79
15	0.26	0.54	0.69	0.87	1.07	1.34	1.52	1.75	2.13	2.25	2.6	3.73
16	0.26	0.54	0.69	0.86	1.07	1.34	1.51	1.75	2.12	2.24	2.58	3.69
17	0.26	0.53	0.69	0.86	1.07	1.33	1.51	1.74	2.11	2.22	2.57	3.65
18	0.26	0.53	0.69	0.86	1.07	1.33	1.5	1.73	2.1	2.21	2.55	3.61
19	0.26	0.53	0.69	0.86	1.07	1.33	1.5	1.73	2.09	2.2	2.54	3.58
20	0.26	0.53	0.69	0.86	1.06	1.33	1.5	1.72	2.09	2.2	2.53	3.55
21	0.26	0.53	0.69	0.86	1.06	1.32	1.49	1.72	2.08	2.19	2.52	3.53
22	0.26	0.53	0.69	0.86	1.06	1.32	1.49	1.72	2.07	2.18	2.51	3.5
23	0.26	0.53	0.69	0.86	1.06	1.32	1.49	1.71	2.07	2.18	2.5	3.48
24	0.26	0.53	0.68	0.86	1.06	1.32	1.49	1.71	2.06	2.17	2.49	3.47
25	0.26	0.53	0.68	0.86	1.06	1.32	1.49	1.71	2.06	2.17	2.49	3.45
26	0.26	0.53	0.68	0.86	1.06	1.31	1.48	1.71	2.06	2.16	2.48	3.43
27	0.26	0.53	0.68	0.86	1.06	1.31	1.48	1.7	2.05	2.16	2.47	3.42
28	0.26	0.53	0.68	0.85	1.06	1.31	1.48	1.7	2.05	2.15	2.47	3.41

Table 1: Quantiles (columns) of the t -distribution with 9 to 28 degrees of freedom (rows).

Appendix 3: Table Chi-square distribution

df	0.001	0.005	0.01	0.025	0.05	0.1	0.125	0.2	0.25	0.333	0.5
1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.004	0.016	0.025	0.064	0.102	0.186	0.455
2	0.002	0.010	0.020	0.051	0.103	0.211	0.267	0.446	0.575	0.811	1.386
3	0.024	0.072	0.115	0.216	0.352	0.584	0.692	1.005	1.213	1.568	2.366
4	0.091	0.207	0.297	0.484	0.711	1.064	1.219	1.649	1.923	2.378	3.357
5	0.210	0.412	0.554	0.831	1.145	1.610	1.808	2.343	2.675	3.216	4.351
6	0.381	0.676	0.872	1.237	1.635	2.204	2.441	3.070	3.455	4.074	5.348
7	0.598	0.989	1.239	1.690	2.167	2.833	3.106	3.822	4.255	4.945	6.346
8	0.857	1.344	1.646	2.180	2.733	3.490	3.797	4.594	5.071	5.826	7.344
9	1.152	1.735	2.088	2.700	3.325	4.168	4.507	5.380	5.899	6.716	8.343
10	1.479	2.156	2.558	3.247	3.940	4.865	5.234	6.179	6.737	7.612	9.342
11	1.834	2.603	3.053	3.816	4.575	5.578	5.975	6.989	7.584	8.514	10.341
12	2.214	3.074	3.571	4.404	5.226	6.304	6.729	7.807	8.438	9.420	11.340
13	2.617	3.565	4.107	5.009	5.892	7.042	7.493	8.634	9.299	10.331	12.340
14	3.041	4.075	4.660	5.629	6.571	7.790	8.266	9.467	10.165	11.245	13.339
15	3.483	4.601	5.229	6.262	7.261	8.547	9.048	10.307	11.037	12.163	14.339
16	3.942	5.142	5.812	6.908	7.962	9.312	9.837	11.152	11.912	13.083	15.338
17	4.416	5.697	6.408	7.564	8.672	10.085	10.633	12.002	12.792	14.006	16.338
18	4.905	6.265	7.015	8.231	9.390	10.865	11.435	12.857	13.675	14.931	17.338
19	5.407	6.844	7.633	8.907	10.117	11.651	12.242	13.716	14.562	15.859	18.338
20	5.921	7.434	8.260	9.591	10.851	12.443	13.055	14.578	15.452	16.788	19.337

df	0.6	0.667	0.75	0.8	0.87	0.9	0.95	0.975	0.99	0.995	0.999
1	0.708	0.936	1.323	1.642	2.354	2.706	3.841	5.024	6.635	7.879	10.828
2	1.833	2.197	2.773	3.219	4.159	4.605	5.991	7.378	9.210	10.597	13.816
3	2.946	3.405	4.108	4.642	5.739	6.251	7.815	9.348	11.345	12.838	16.266
4	4.045	4.579	5.385	5.989	7.214	7.779	9.488	11.143	13.277	14.860	18.467
5	5.132	5.730	6.626	7.289	8.625	9.236	11.070	12.833	15.086	16.750	20.515
6	6.211	6.867	7.841	8.558	9.992	10.645	12.592	14.449	16.812	18.548	22.458
7	7.283	7.992	9.037	9.803	11.326	12.017	14.067	16.013	18.475	20.278	24.322
8	8.351	9.107	10.219	11.030	12.636	13.362	15.507	17.535	20.090	21.955	26.125
9	9.414	10.215	11.389	12.242	13.926	14.684	16.919	19.023	21.666	23.589	27.877
10	10.473	11.317	12.549	13.442	15.198	15.987	18.307	20.483	23.209	25.188	29.588
11	11.530	12.414	13.701	14.631	16.457	17.275	19.675	21.920	24.725	26.757	31.264
12	12.584	13.506	14.845	15.812	17.703	18.549	21.026	23.337	26.217	28.300	32.910
13	13.636	14.595	15.984	16.985	18.939	19.812	22.362	24.736	27.688	29.819	34.528
14	14.685	15.680	17.117	18.151	20.166	21.064	23.685	26.119	29.141	31.319	36.123
15	15.733	16.761	18.245	19.311	21.384	22.307	24.996	27.488	30.578	32.801	37.697
16	16.780	17.840	19.369	20.465	22.595	23.542	26.296	28.845	32.000	34.267	39.252
17	17.824	18.917	20.489	21.615	23.799	24.769	27.587	30.191	33.409	35.718	40.790
18	18.868	19.991	21.605	22.760	24.997	25.989	28.869	31.526	34.805	37.156	42.312
19	19.910	21.063	22.718	23.900	26.189	27.204	30.144	32.852	36.191	38.582	43.820
20	20.951	22.133	23.828	25.038	27.376	28.412	31.410	34.170	37.566	39.997	45.315

Table 2: Quantiles (columns) of the chi-square distribution with 1 to 20 degrees of freedom (rows).