## Answer to question 1

Answer to question 1a

The distribution function is (using the hints provided in the exercise):

$$F_{\theta}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \{\pi[1 + (y - \theta)^{2}]\}^{-1} dy = \int_{-\infty}^{x - \theta} \{\pi[1 + z^{2}]\}^{-1} dz$$
$$= \pi^{-1}[\arctan(z)]_{-\infty}^{x - \theta} = \pi^{-1}\arctan(x - \theta) + \frac{1}{2}.$$

This distribution function is continuous and monotone in x. Hence, the quantile function is then the inverse of  $F_{\theta}(x)$ :

$$\alpha = F_{\theta}(x_{\alpha})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha = \pi^{-1} \arctan(x_{\alpha} - \theta) + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha - \frac{1}{2} = \pi^{-1} \arctan(x_{\alpha} - \theta)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \pi(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}) = \arctan(x_{\alpha} - \theta)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \tan[\pi(\alpha - \frac{1}{2})] = x_{\alpha} - \theta$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \theta + \tan[\pi(\alpha - \frac{1}{2})] = x_{\alpha}.$$

Thus, the quantile function is  $F^{-1}(\alpha) = \theta + \tan[\pi(\alpha - \frac{1}{2})].$ 

Answer to question 1b

The likelihood is:

$$L(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0; \theta) = {\pi[1 + (\theta - 1)^2]}^{-1} {\pi[1 + \theta^2]}^{-1}$$

Its logarithm is the log-likelihood:

$$\mathcal{L}(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0; \theta) \propto -\log[1 + (\theta - 1)^2] - \log[1 + \theta^2]$$

Arrive at the likelihood equation by equating the derivate of the log-likelihood w.r.t.  $\theta$  to zero:

$$0 = -\frac{2(\theta - 1)}{1 + (\theta - 1)^2} - \frac{2\theta}{1 + \theta^2}$$

This equals zero when:

$$0 = -(\theta - 1)[1 + \theta^{2}] - \theta[1 + (\theta - 1)^{2}].$$

This factorizes to:

$$0 = (2\theta - 1)(\theta^2 - \theta + 1).$$

Hence,  $\hat{\theta}_{ML} = \frac{1}{2}$  as the second factor yields imaginary roots (verify by the *abc*-formula). It remains to verify that the ML estimate indeed maximizes the likelihood. The second order derivative of the log-likelihood with respect to  $\theta$  is:

$$-\frac{2}{1+(\theta-1)^2} + \frac{4(\theta-1)^2}{[1+(\theta-1)^2]} - \frac{2}{1+\theta^2} + \frac{4\theta^2}{[1+\theta^2]^2} = \frac{-2+2(\theta-1)^2}{[1+(\theta-1)^2]} + \frac{-2+2\theta^2}{[1+\theta^2]^2}.$$

Evaluate this derivative at  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$  and note it is negative, which implies the ML estimate indeed maximizes the likelihood.

*Note*: To answer the exam exercise one need not find the factorization above. It suffices to verify through substitution that  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$  is a zero of the likelihood estimating equation.

## Answer to Exercise 2

Answer to Exercise 2a

The first order (population) moment of the Weibull distributed  $X_i$  is  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \lambda^{1/k} \Gamma[(k+1)/k]$ . The first order sample moment is the sample mean  $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1} X_i$ . Equate the population and sample moment, solve for p and obtain:  $\hat{\lambda}_{MoM} = \{\bar{X}/\Gamma[(k+1)/k]\}^k$ .

Answer to Exercise 2b

Denote the inverse gamma prior on  $\lambda$  by  $\pi_{\lambda}$ . The Bayes estimator then is:

$$\mathbb{E}(p|X_1=x_1,\ldots,X_n=x_n) = \int_0^\infty \lambda \frac{\pi(\lambda) \ P(X_1=x,\ldots,X_n=x_n \,|\, \bar{\lambda}=\lambda)}{\int_0^\infty \pi(\lambda) \ P(X_1=x,\ldots,X_n=x_n \,|\, \bar{\lambda}=\lambda) \ d\lambda} \ d\lambda.$$

The denominator is:

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^\infty \pi(\lambda) \ P(X_1 = x, \dots, X_n = x_n \, | \, \bar{\lambda} = \lambda) \ d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^\infty \pi(\lambda) \ \prod_{i=1}^n P_{\lambda,k}(X_i = x_i \, | \, \bar{\lambda} = \lambda) \ d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^\infty \beta^\alpha [\Gamma(\alpha)]^{-1} \lambda^{-\alpha - 1} \exp(-\beta/\lambda) \ \prod_{i=1}^n k \lambda^{-1} x_i^{k-1} \exp(-x_i^k/\lambda) \ d\lambda \\ &= \beta^\alpha [\Gamma(\alpha)]^{-1} k^n \Big(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{k-1}\Big) \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\alpha - 1 - n} \exp\Big[-\lambda^{-1} \Big(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k\Big)\Big] \ d\lambda \\ &= \beta^\alpha [\Gamma(\alpha)]^{-1} k^n \Big(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{k-1}\Big) \Big(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k\Big)^{-\alpha - n} \Gamma(\alpha + n) \\ &\qquad \times \int_0^\infty \Big(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k\Big)^{\alpha + n} [\Gamma(\alpha + n)]^{-1} \lambda^{-\alpha - 1 - n} \exp\Big[-\lambda^{-1} \Big(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k\Big)\Big] \ d\lambda \\ &= \beta^\alpha [\Gamma(\alpha)]^{-1} k^n \Big(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{k-1}\Big) \Big(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k\Big)^{-\alpha - n} \Gamma(\alpha + n), \end{split}$$

where in the last step the integral vanishes as the integrand is an inverse gamma density. By the same token the numerator is:

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda \ \pi(\lambda) \prod_{i=1}^n P_{\lambda,k}(X_i = x_i | \bar{\lambda} = \lambda) \ d\lambda$$

$$= \beta^{\alpha} [\Gamma(\alpha)]^{-1} k^n \Big( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{k-1} \Big) \Big( \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k \Big)^{-\alpha - n + 1} \Gamma(\alpha + n - 1).$$

The Bayes estimator is then given by division of the numerator by the denominator:

$$\hat{\lambda}_B = \left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k\right) \Gamma(\alpha + n - 1) [\Gamma(\alpha + n)]^{-1} = \left(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k\right) (\alpha + n - 1)^{-1}.$$

in which the property of the Gamma-function has been used.

*Note:* The argument above may be abbreviated, as the normalization constant does not effect the shape of the posterior.

Answer to Exercise 2c

In general,  $\mathbb{E}(X^2) = \mathbb{V}(X) + [\mathbb{E}(X)]^2$ . Furthermore, the posterior distribution of  $\lambda$  is with shape parameter  $\alpha + 2$  and scale parameter  $\beta + k\bar{x}$  (given in the exercise). The expectation and variance

of an inverse gamma distributed random variable are provided in the appendix. Hence,  $\mathbb{E}(X) = \frac{\beta + k\bar{x}}{\alpha + 1}$  and  $\mathbb{V}(X) = \frac{\beta + k\bar{x}}{\alpha(\alpha + 1)^2}$ . Then:

$$\mathbb{E}(\lambda^{2}|X_{1} = x_{1}, \dots, X_{n} = x_{n}) = \mathbb{V}(\lambda|X_{1} = x_{1}, \dots, X_{n} = x_{n}) + [\mathbb{E}(\lambda|X_{1} = x_{1}, \dots, X_{n} = x_{n})]^{2}$$

$$= \frac{\beta + k\bar{x}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)^{2}} + \frac{(\beta + k\bar{x})^{2}}{(\alpha+1)^{2}} = \frac{\beta + k\bar{x} + \alpha(\beta + k\bar{x})^{2}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)^{2}}.$$

## Answer to Exercise 3

Answer to Exercise 3a

The statistical model is the Binomial distribution Bin(n,p) with n=50 and  $p \in [0,1]$ , the probability of liking Trump. The hypothesis  $H_0: p \geq \frac{1}{4}$  vs.  $H_a: p < \frac{1}{4}$ .

Answer to Exercise 3b

The test statistic T=X the number of Trump dislikes. Then,  $T \sim_{H_0} Bin(n, \frac{1}{4})$ . The Binomial distribution may be approximated by the normal:  $T \approx \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{4}n, \frac{3n}{16})$  for np(1-p) > 5 (which is satisfied here). The critical region at level  $\alpha_0 = 0.10$  is  $K = \{0, \ldots, c_{\alpha_0}\}$ . Now derive the critical value  $c_{\alpha_0}$  from the  $2^{nd}$  convention (i.e. choose a test of level  $\alpha_0$ ):

$$P_{H_0}(T \in K) = P_{p=\frac{1}{4}}(T \le c_{\alpha_0} + \frac{1}{2}) = P_{p=\frac{1}{4}}\left(\frac{T - \frac{1}{4}n}{\sqrt{\frac{3n}{16}}} \le \frac{c_{\alpha_0} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}n}{\sqrt{\frac{3n}{16}}}\right)$$

$$\approx \Phi_{0,1}\left(\frac{c_{\alpha_0} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}n}{\sqrt{\frac{3n}{16}}}\right) = 0.10 = \Phi_{0,1}(-1.28),$$

in which the continuity correction has been applied. Apply  $\Phi_{0,1}^{-1}$ , obtain  $c_{\alpha_0} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}n = -1.28\sqrt{\frac{3n}{16}}$ , and solve for  $c_{\alpha_0}$ :  $c_{\alpha_0} = \frac{1}{4}n - \frac{1}{2} - 1.28\sqrt{\frac{3n}{16}}$ . Substitute n to arrive at  $c_{\alpha_0} = 8.08$ . Hence,  $K = \{0, \dots, 8\}$ . As we have observed 9 Trump likes, the null hypothesis is not rejected.

Answer to Exercise 3c Evaluate the p-value:

$$p = P_{p=\frac{1}{4}}(T \le t) = P_{p=\frac{1}{4}}(T \le 9 + \frac{1}{2}) \approx \Phi_{0,1}\left(\frac{9\frac{1}{2} - 12\frac{1}{2}}{3.0612}\right) = \Phi(-0.9798) = 0.1636.$$

Answer to Exercise 3d

Subject to level  $\alpha_0 = 0.10$ , choose n such that  $\pi(0.2; K) \ge 0.8$ . Evaluate the power at  $p = \frac{1}{5}$ :

$$\pi(0.2; K) = P_{p = \frac{1}{5}}(T \in K) = P_{p = \frac{1}{5}}(T \le c_{\alpha_0}) = P_{p = \frac{1}{5}}(T \le c_{\alpha_0} + \frac{1}{2})$$

$$= P_{p = 0.2}\left(\frac{T - \frac{1}{5}n}{\sqrt{\frac{4n}{25}}} \le \frac{c_{\alpha_0} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{5}n}{\sqrt{\frac{4n}{25}}}\right) = \Phi_{0,1}\left(\frac{c_{\alpha_0} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{5}n}{\sqrt{\frac{4n}{25}}}\right)$$

$$= 0.80 = \Phi_{0,1}(0.8416).$$

Apply  $\Phi_{0,1}^{-1}$  and substitute  $c_{\alpha_0} = \frac{1}{4}n - \frac{1}{2} - 1.28\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{n}$  to obtain

$$\frac{1}{4}n - \frac{1}{2} - 1.28\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{5}n = \frac{2}{5}\sqrt{n} \times 0.8416.$$

Simplified:

$$0 = \frac{1}{20}n - 0.65664\sqrt{n} \iff 20 \sqrt{n}(\sqrt{n} - 13.1328) = 0.$$

Hence, n = 172.47.