## Solutions

1. (a) Write  $D_n$  for all data. Then

$$L(\theta \mid D_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{\theta}(X_i, Y_i) = \exp\left(\theta S_X - \frac{1}{\theta} S_Y\right).$$

Here,  $S_X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and  $S_Y = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ . The loglikelihood equals

$$\ell(\theta; D_n) = \theta S_X - \frac{1}{\theta} S_Y.$$

Differentiating and equating to zero gives

$$\dot{\ell}(\theta; D_n) = -S_X + \frac{1}{\theta^2} S_Y = 0$$

which implies  $\theta^2 = S_Y/S_X$ . We need to have  $\theta > 0$ , the loglikelihood is concave, thus  $\hat{\Theta} = \sqrt{S_Y/S_X}$ .

(b) From part (a) the factorisation theorem immediately implies that  $(S_X, S_Y)$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

(c)

$$I(\theta) = -E\ell''(\theta \mid D_1) = \frac{2}{\theta^3} EY_1 = \frac{2}{\theta^3} \int \int y e^{-\theta x - y/\theta} dx dy = \frac{2}{\theta^2}.$$

- (d) 0 and  $1/I(\theta) = \theta^2/2$ .
- 2. (a)

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\theta} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\theta]}(x_i) = \theta^{-n} \mathbf{1}_{[x_{(n)},\infty)}(\theta).$$

 $\pi(\theta \mid x) \propto L(\theta)\pi(\theta) \propto \theta^{-n} \mathbf{1}_{[x_{(n)},\infty)}(\theta) \cdot \theta^{-(\alpha+1)} \mathbf{1}_{[\beta,\infty)}(\theta) = \theta^{-(\alpha+n+1)} \mathbf{1}_{\max([x_{(n)},\beta),\infty)}(\theta).$ 

This gives  $\alpha_p = \alpha + n$ ,  $\beta_p = \max([x_{(n)}, \beta)$ .

- (b) We need to solve for  $\int_{\beta_p}^c \pi(\theta \mid x) d\theta = 0.95$ . That is, with slight abuse of notation,  $P(\operatorname{Par}(\alpha_p, \beta_p) \leq c) = 0.95$ . Thus  $1 (\beta_p/c)^{\alpha_p} = 0.95$ , from which it follows that  $(\beta_p/c)^{\alpha_p} = 0.05$ .
- (c) We choose  $H_1$  if

$$\int_{k}^{\infty} \pi(\theta \mid x) d\theta > \int_{0}^{k} \pi(\theta \mid x) d\theta.$$

Equivalently, choose  $H_1$  if  $\int_k^\infty \pi(\theta \mid x) d\theta > 1/2$ . Thus

$$\left(\frac{\beta_p}{k}\right)^{\alpha_p} > 1/2.$$

As  $X_{(n)} > \beta$ , we have  $\beta_p = X_{(n)}$  from which the claim follows.

3. (a) The NP-test is most powerful. The test statistic is given by

$$\Lambda = \frac{L(2)}{L(1)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{B(1,1)}{B(2,2)} X_i (1 - X_i)$$
$$= \left(\frac{B(1,1)}{B(2,2)}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} X_i (1 - X_i)$$

Hence, we reject for large value of

$$\log \prod_{i=1}^{n} X_i (1 - X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log X_i + \log(1 - X_i)).$$

(b) i.

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta=1}(1/2 - c \le X_1 \le 1/2 + c) = 0.05.$$

Under  $\theta = 1$ ,  $X_1 \sim Unif(0,1)$ . Therefore, this leads to 2c = 0.05 which gives c = 0.025.

ii. The power is given by (simple alternative)

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta=2}(1/2 - c \le X_1 \le 1/2 + c) = \frac{\int_{1/2-c}^{1/2+c} x(1-x)\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x)dx}{\int_0^1 x(1-x)dx}$$

A primitive of the integrand is given by G. Hence, the numerator equals G(c+1/2)-G(c-1/2). The denominator equals 1/6. Combined, this gives the stated result.

- 4. (a)  $Z_i = X_1/\sigma \sim N(0,1)$ . This implies that  $\sigma^{-2} \sum_i X_i^2 = \sum_i Z_i^2$ . The latter distribution does not depend on  $\sigma$ .
  - (b)  $\sum_i Z_i^2 \sim \chi_n^2$ . Hence, with  $U = \sum_i X_i^2$

$$P\left(\chi_{n,\alpha/2}^{2} \le \sigma^{-2}U \le \chi_{n,1-\alpha/2}^{2}\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

Equivalently

$$P\left(\sqrt{\frac{U}{\chi_{n,1-\alpha/2}^2}} \le \sigma \le \sqrt{\frac{U}{\chi_{n,\alpha/2}^2}}\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$