

Test 3 2020

$$1a) \begin{vmatrix} 1-\lambda & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1-\lambda & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = (1-\lambda) \begin{vmatrix} 1-\lambda & 2 \\ 1 & 1-\lambda \end{vmatrix} + 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1-\lambda \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = (1-\lambda)(\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1 - 2) + 2 - 1 + \lambda$$

$$= \lambda^2 - 2\lambda - 1 - \lambda^3 + 2\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 + \lambda = -\lambda^3 + 3\lambda^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^2(3-\lambda) = 0.$$

$$\lambda = 0 \vee \lambda = 3.$$

1b) $\lambda = 0$ has multiplicity 2. We have to check if the dimension of the corresponding eigenspace is 2.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Only 1 free variable, so the dimension of the eigenspace is 1.

Therefore A is not diagonalizable.

→ (Or equivalently: the sum of the dimensions of the eigenspaces should be 3.)

2a) λ is an eigenvalue of B , so the equation $(B - \lambda I)\underline{x} = \underline{0}$ must have a nontrivial solution. Therefore, $B - \lambda I$ must have less than n pivots (there is a free variable), and since $B - \lambda I$ is square, this results in at least one zero row in echelon form.

$$2b) \begin{vmatrix} 4-\lambda & -5 \\ 10 & 6-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = (4-\lambda)(6-\lambda) + 50 = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 - 10\lambda + 74 = 0$$

$$(\lambda - 5)^2 = -49 \Rightarrow \lambda = 5 \pm 7i.$$

$$2c) \begin{bmatrix} -1-7i & -5 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ results in an eigenvector } \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -1-7i \end{bmatrix} = \underline{v}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 1-7i \end{bmatrix} \text{ results in an eigenvector } \begin{bmatrix} 1-7i \\ -10 \end{bmatrix} = \underline{u}$$

They should belong to the same eigenspace, so check that they are multiples: $(1-7i) \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -1-7i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5(1-7i) \\ -1+49i^2 \end{bmatrix} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5(1-7i) \\ -50 \end{bmatrix} = 5 \begin{bmatrix} 1-7i \\ -10 \end{bmatrix}; \text{ yes: } \frac{1-7i}{5} \underline{v} = \underline{u}.$$

2d) $\lambda_2 = \overline{\lambda_1}$ and we then know that $\underline{v}_1 = \overline{\underline{v}_2}$. So simply take the conjugate of a vector in the eigenspace of λ_1 : eigenspace for $\lambda_2 = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -1+7i \end{bmatrix} \right\}$.

3a) False. Only true if $\underline{v}_1$ and $\underline{v}_2$ are in the same eigenspace.

Suppose $A\underline{v}_1 = \lambda_1 \underline{v}_1$ and $A\underline{v}_2 = \lambda_2 \underline{v}_2$, $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$.

Then $A(\underline{v}_1 + \underline{v}_2) = A\underline{v}_1 + A\underline{v}_2 = \lambda_1 \underline{v}_1 + \lambda_2 \underline{v}_2 \neq \lambda(\underline{v}_1 + \underline{v}_2)$, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

or counterexample: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, then $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = 2$.

$\underline{v}_1: \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \underline{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\underline{v}_2: \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \underline{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ } $\underline{v}_1 + \underline{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, then

$A(\underline{v}_1 + \underline{v}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \neq \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

3b) True. A and B are similar, so there exists an invertible matrix P such that $B = PAP^{-1}$. If A is diagonalizable, then there exists an invertible matrix Q and a diagonal matrix D such that $A = QDQ^{-1}$. Combined this gives $B = P(QDQ^{-1})P^{-1} = (PQ)D(PQ)^{-1}$, where D is diagonal and PQ is invertible. So B is diagonalizable.

$$4a) M \underline{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1,8 & -0,6 \\ 0,8 & 0,2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4,2 \\ 2,8 \end{bmatrix} = 1,4 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_1 = 1,4$$

$$M \underline{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1,8 & -0,6 \\ 0,8 & 0,2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0,6 \\ 1,2 \end{bmatrix} = 0,6 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_2 = 0,6.$$

$$4b) \underline{x}_k = c_1 \lambda_1^k \underline{v}_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^k \underline{v}_2$$

Find c_1 and c_2 : $\underline{x}_0 = c_1 \underline{v}_1 + c_2 \underline{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$. This gives:

$$c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{Solve } \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\sim \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 4 & 6 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3/2 \end{array} \right].$$

$$\underline{x}_k = -\frac{1}{2} (1,4)^k \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{3}{2} (0,6)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $\underline{x}_k \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} (1,4)^k \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow -\infty$.
(the system diverges)

4c) Now $\underline{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, so $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 = 1$.

$$\underline{x}_k = (0,6)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $\underline{x}_k \rightarrow 0$. (the system converges).

Bonus

