

Preparation Exam Financial Econometrics

Exam: Financial Econometrics (3.5)
Code: -
Coordinator: dr. P. Gorgi
Co-Reader: -
Date: -
Time: -
Duration: 2 hours and 45 minutes

Calculator: Allowed
Graphical calculator: Not allowed
Number of questions: 4
Type of questions: Open
Answer in: English

Credit score: 100 credits counts for a 10
Grades: Made public within 10 working days
Inspection: -
Number of pages: -

- Read the entire exam carefully before you start answering the questions.
- Be clear and concise in your statements, but justify every step in your derivations.
- The questions should be handed back at the end of the exam. Do not take it home.

Good luck!

Question 1 [35 points] Observation-Driven Models: Stochastic Properties

Consider the following ARCH(2) model:

$$y_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t, \quad \{\varepsilon_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \sim \text{NID}(0, 1)$$

$$\text{where } \sigma_t^2 = \omega + \alpha_1 y_{t-1}^2 + \alpha_2 y_{t-2}^2 \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\omega > 0$, $\alpha_1 \geq 0$, $\alpha_2 \geq 0$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 < 1$.

- Show that y_t has unconditional mean zero; i.e. show that $\mathbb{E}(y_t) = 0$.
- Derive the unconditional variance of y_t ; i.e. derive an expression for $\text{Var}(y_t)$ in terms of the parameters ω , α_1 and α_2 .
- Suppose that the following *for loop* is used in MATLAB to simulate data from the ARCH(2) model:

```
sig(1) = omega/(1-alpha1-alpha2);

for t=2:T

    y(t) = sqrt(sig(t)) * epsilon(t);
    sig(t+1) = omega + alpha1*y(t)^2 + alpha2*y(t-1)^2;

end
```

Consider the following statement: “the *for loop* should start at $t=1$ because we have specified the initial value *sig(1)*”. Is the statement true or false? Justify your answer.

Consider the following updating equations for the conditional variances and conditional covariance between a bivariate vector $\mathbf{y}_t = (y_{1,t}, y_{2,t})^\top$ of stock returns:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1,t}^2 & \sigma_{21,t} \\ \sigma_{21,t} & \sigma_{2,t}^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} y_{1,t-1}^2 & y_{1,t-1}y_{2,t-1} \\ y_{1,t-1}y_{2,t-1} & y_{2,t-1}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose that the last observed returns for stocks 1 and 2 were given by $y_{1,t-1} = 1$ and $y_{2,t-1} = 0$. Additionally, consider three different portfolios which assign different weights k_1 and k_2 to stocks 1 and 2 respectively:

Portfolio A: consists only of stock 1 ($k_1 = 1$, $k_2 = 0$)

Portfolio B: consists only of stock 2 ($k_1 = 0$, $k_2 = 1$)

Portfolio C: gives the same weight to each stock ($k_1 = 0.5$, $k_2 = 0.5$)

- Which portfolio has lower risk? i.e. which portfolio has lower conditional variance?
- Suppose further that $\mu_{1,t} = \mathbb{E}(y_{1,t}|Y^{t-1}) = 1$ and $\mu_{2,t} = \mathbb{E}(y_{2,t}|Y^{t-1}) = 2$. Calculate the Sharpe ratio of each portfolio.
- Consider the following statement: “Portfolio A is the best because it has the lowest Sharpe ratio”. Is the statement true or false? Justify your answer.

Question 2 [25 points] Observation-Driven Models: Parameter Estimation

Consider the following GARCH(1,1) model:

$$y_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t \quad , \quad \{\varepsilon_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \sim \text{NID}(0, 1)$$

$$\text{where} \quad \sigma_t^2 = \omega + \alpha_1 y_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

- (a) Consider the following MATLAB code that sets the initial value of the parameter vector $\theta = (\omega, \alpha_1, \beta_1)$ which is used with the `FMINCON` optimization package for obtaining estimates of parameters of the GARCH(1,1) model:

```
theta_ini = [0 , 0 , 0]
```

Consider the following statement: “*Setting the initial value of the parameter $\theta = (0, 0, 0)$ is problematic*”. Is the statement true or false? Justify your answer.

- (b) You have estimated several competing GARCH(p, q) model specifications and obtained the following results for the log likelihood, the Akaike’s Information Criterion (AIC) and the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC):

Model	Log Likelihood	AIC	BIC
GARCH(1,1)	-4781.3	9558.6	9573.4
GARCH(1,2)	-4787.5	9583.0	9589.4
GARCH(2,1)	-4699.1	9406.2	9412.6
GARCH(2,2)	-4698.7	9407.4	9415.4

Are the following statements true or false? Please justify your answer.

- (i) “*The GARCH(2,2) is the best model because it has the largest log likelihood value.*”
- (ii) “*The GARCH(1,2) is the best model because it has the largest AIC and BIC values.*”
- (iii) “*If the GARCH(2,1) model is better than the GARCH(1,1) model in terms of both AIC and BIC, then this means that the GARCH(2,1) model is well specified.*”

Question 3 [20 points] Parameter-Driven Models: Stochastic Properties

- (a) Explain and discuss the main differences in the estimation of parameters between *Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity* (GARCH) models and *Stochastic Volatility* (SV) models?
- (b) Let $\{y_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be generated by the following SV-MA(2) model

$$y_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t, \quad \sigma_t^2 = \exp(f_t),$$
$$f_t = \eta_t + \phi \eta_{t-2},$$

where $\{\epsilon_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $NID(0, 1)$ and $\{\eta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $NID(0, \sigma_\eta^2)$ with $\sigma_\eta^2 > 0$.

Recall that if z_t is a *normal* random variable $z_t \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then $\exp(z_t)$ has a *log-normal* distribution, which is denoted $\exp(z_t) \sim \log\text{-}N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, and furthermore, has mean given by

$$\mathbb{E}(z_t) = \exp(\mu + \sigma^2/2).$$

- (i) Show that the first-order autocorrelation function of y_t^2 is equal to zero, i.e. show that $\text{Corr}(y_t^2, y_{t-1}^2) = 0$.
- (ii) Show that the skewness of y_t is zero, i.e. show that $\mathbb{E}(y_t^3) = 0$.
- (iii) Is the unconditional distribution of y_t Normal if $\phi = 0$? Justify your answer.

Question 4 [20 points] Parameter-Driven Models: Parameter Estimation

- (a) Consider the indirect inference estimator $\hat{\theta}_{HT}$ given by

$$\hat{\theta}_{HT} = \arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} d(\hat{B}_T, \tilde{B}_H(\theta)),$$

where \hat{B}_T is the auxiliary statistic obtained from the observed sample of data (which is of length T) and $\tilde{B}_H(\theta)$ is the auxiliary statistic obtained from the simulated sample of data (which is of length H).

Consider the following statement: “the accuracy of the indirect inference estimator $\hat{\theta}_{HT}$ increases as the length H of the simulations increases”. Is the statement true or false? Justify your answer.

- (b) Consider the following SV-MA(1) model

$$y_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t, \quad \sigma_t^2 = \exp(f_t),$$

$$f_t = \eta_t + \phi \eta_{t-1},$$

where $\{\varepsilon_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $NID(0, 1)$ and $\{\eta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $NID(0, 1)$. The parameter vector is given by $\theta = \phi$ and the parameter set is $\Theta = (-1, 1)$.

We want to estimate the “true” parameter $\theta_0 = \phi_0 \in (-1, 1)$ by indirect inference. Consider the following auxiliary statistics

$$\hat{B}_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^T y_t y_{t-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B}_H(\theta) = \frac{1}{H} \sum_{t=2}^H \tilde{y}_t(\theta) \tilde{y}_{t-1}(\theta)$$

Show whether or not the indirect inference estimator $\hat{\theta}_{HT}$ based on the above auxiliary statistics is consistent.