

# Exam Evolutionary Computing

## 11.01.2011

### NOTES:

1. **YOUR NAME MUST BE WRITTEN ON EACH SHEET IN CAPITALS.**
2. You can answer the questions in English or in Dutch.
3. This is an 'open book' exam. You can use the course book – but nothing else.
4. Points to be collected: 90, free gift: 10 points, maximum total: 100 points.
5. Grade: total number of points divided by 10.

### QUESTIONS

1. We are to solve a graph 3-coloring problem with evolutionary computing. That is, we have a graph  $G = (N, E)$  with  $n = |N|$  nodes and  $m = |E|$  edges and three colors  $\{r, w, b\}$ . We define a coloring as an assignment of colors to all nodes. Then the task is to find a coloring such that no neighboring nodes have the same color.  
(2p) What kind of problem is this, an FOP, a COP, or a CSP?
2. We decide to represent a coloring by a vector  $x = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \{r, w, b\}^n$ , where the  $k$ -th position belongs to node  $k \in N$  and  $x_k$  is the color of  $k$ . Constraints are denoted as  $\{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ . For each edge  $e = (k, l) \in E$  there is a unique constraint  $c_i$  such that  $c_i(x) = \text{true}$  if and only if  $x_k \neq x_l$ . Furthermore, we use the notation  $C^k$  for the set of constraints involving variable  $x_k$  (that is, involving the node  $k$ ). Now we can define two different fitness functions as follows:

$$f_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m A(x, c_i) \text{ where}$$

$$A(x, c_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c_i(x) = \text{false (i.e., } x \text{ violates } c_i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_2(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n B(x, C^j) \text{ where}$$

$$B(x, C^j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ violates at least one } c \in C^j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- (a) (5p) What does the fitness function  $f_1$  measure in terms of the (colored) graph?
- (b) (5p) What does the fitness function  $f_2$  measure in terms of the (colored) graph?
- (c) (6p) Which of these fitness functions is preferable if we want to use a heuristic mutation operator that 'fixes' some errors in a given chromosomes? Give arguments why.

3. Using the above representation and either fitness functions specify an EA suitable<sup>1</sup> for solving the above problem. In particular, give
  - (a) **(3p)** an appropriate crossover operator,
  - (b) **(3p)** an appropriate mutation operator,
  - (c) **(3p)** an appropriate selection mechanism,
  - (d) **(2p)** an initialization method,
  - (e) **(2p)** a stop condition,
4. Invent a multi-parent recombination mechanism for permutation representation, such that it is not just a concatenation of a number of two-parent recombination operators. That is, describe a recombination mechanism that can be applied to an arbitrary number of  $n > 1$  parents and has the property that if all parents are permutations (over the same alphabet) then so are the offspring. You can solve this problem in two steps:
  - (a) **(7p)** Describe a recombination mechanism that can be applied to  $n = 3$  parents and permutations over the alphabet  $\{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ . Illustrate its working with a concrete example.
  - (b) **(10p)** Describe a recombination mechanism for permutations that can be applied to an arbitrary number of  $n > 1$  parents and provide an argument to “prove” that it always produces correct offspring. NB. The quotes in “prove” indicate that you needn’t provide a formal proof with mathematical rigor.
5.
  - (a) **(3p)** Explain what deterministic, adaptive, and self-adaptive parameter control mean in evolutionary computing.
  - (b) **(5p)** Invent a deterministic mechanism to modify the tournament size of a GA over time. Motivate your method by (intuitive) arguments: why would it be helpful?
  - (c) **(5p)** Invent an adaptive mechanism to modify the tournament size of a GA over time. Motivate your method by (intuitive) arguments: why would it be helpful?
  - (d) **(7p)** Invent a self-adaptive mechanism to modify the tournament size of a GA over time. Motivate your method by (intuitive) arguments: why would it be helpful?
6. **(8p)** What is the difference between uniform crossover and global discrete recombination?
7. **(8p)** Is edge recombination applicable for any problem represented by permutations? Or can it only be used for TSP-like problems?
8. **(6p)** Consider the following statement:

Evolution Strategies do not suffer from bloat, because they are self-adapting the mutation stepsize.

Is this statement correct or not? Give arguments.

---

<sup>1</sup>The EA does not have to be “smart” (efficient). But the representation and the operators should be such that a solution can be found.