

Exam Computational Methods in Econometrics

Minor Applied Econometrics
Faculty of Economics and Business Administration
Wednesday, October 21, 2020

Exam:	Computational Methods in Econometrics
Code:	E_EOR3.CME
Coordinator:	M.H.C. Nientker
Date:	October 21, 2020
Time:	12:15
Duration:	2 hours
Number of questions:	8
Type of questions:	Multiple choice & open
Answer in:	English or Dutch
Grades:	Made public within 10 working days
Number of pages:	6, including front page

IMPORTANT

This exam has two different types of multiple choice questions. I expect answers to be as follows.

- **“show why it is the correct answer”**: Report your choice and then fully derive why that answer is the correct one. Make sure to show all your steps.
- **“explain why the other answers perform worse”**: Report your choice and then explain for each of the other alternatives why it is a worse idea than your choice.

Good luck!

Question 1. We have a nonparametric regression model with autoregressive errors

$$y_t = x_t' \beta + \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_t = \rho \varepsilon_{t-1} + \nu_t, \quad \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_\nu^2).$$

To test $H_0: \rho = 0$ versus $H_1: \rho \neq 0$ we use the test statistic

$$T(\vec{Y}) = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}}{\sum_{t=2}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}^2},$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon} = (I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')y = (I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')\varepsilon$. Choose one of the following options and **show why it is the correct answer**.

- A. The test statistic $T_n(\vec{Y})$ is not a pivot under the null.
- B. The test statistic $T_n(\vec{Y})$ is a pivot under the null.

Question 2. In finance people are very interested in calculating the risk of loss of investment portfolios, especially the maximum possible loss within a reasonable probability. To do so they have defined the Value at Risk (VaR) of a loss random variable Y with known cdf F as

$$\text{VaR}_\alpha(Y) = c(F) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F(x) > 1 - \alpha\}.$$

To estimate the characteristic $\vartheta = c(F)$ we simulate y_1^*, \dots, y_B^* from F to construct the Monte-Carlo distribution function \hat{F}_B . As an estimator we then use $\hat{\vartheta} = c(\hat{F}_B)$. Suppose that $n = 200$ and $\alpha = 0.05$. Choose one of the following options and **show why it is the correct answer**.

- A. $\hat{\vartheta} = y_{(10)}^*$.
- B. $\hat{\vartheta} = y_{(190)}^*$.
- C. $\hat{\vartheta} = y_{(195)}^*$.
- D. $\hat{\vartheta} = y_{(5)}^*$.

Question 3. Suppose that we have categorical observable variables $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \{0, 1\}$ and explanatory variables x^1, \dots, x^k and that we would like to model the probability $P(Y_1 = 1)$. The LOGIT model specifies this probability as

$$P(Y_1 = 1 \mid x_t) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(x'_t \beta)}},$$

where $x_t = (x_t^1, \dots, x_t^k)$ are treated as fixed and β is a parameter vector. Suppose that we split the vector $\beta' = (\alpha, \gamma)$ and want to test $H_0: \gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_m = 0$ versus $H_1: \gamma \neq 0$. We opt for a bootstrap procedure and let $\hat{\alpha}$ be an estimator for α under the null hypothesis. Then the question remains how we can use $\hat{\alpha}$ to simulate y_1^*, \dots, y_n^* . Choose one of the following options and **show why it is the correct answer**.

- A. We simulate $u_t \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$ and set $y_t^* = \mathbb{1}\{\frac{1}{1+e^{-x'_t(\hat{\alpha}', 0)}} + u_t \geq 0\}$.
- B. We simulate $u_t \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$ and set $y_t^* = \mathbb{1}\{\frac{1}{1+e^{-x'_t(\hat{\alpha}', 0)}} - u_t \geq 0\}$.
- C. We simulate $u_t \sim N(0, 1)$ and set $y_t^* = \mathbb{1}\{\frac{1}{1+e^{-x'_t(\hat{\alpha}', 0)}} - u_t \geq 0\}$.
- D. We simulate $u_t \sim N(0, 1)$ and set $y_t^* = \mathbb{1}\{\frac{1}{1+e^{-x'_t(\hat{\alpha}', 0)}} + u_t \geq 0\}$.

Question 4. Pick one of the following statements and **explain why the other answers are incorrect**.

- A. The power of a Monte-Carlo test can exceed that of the theoretical test if we let $B \rightarrow \infty$.
- B. In a Monte-Carlo hypothesis testing approach we need to make B as large as possible to ensure that the size of the test is as close as possible to the chosen level α .
- C. If a test statistic is a pivot it is best to use a bootstrap approximation to the unknown population.
- D. In a bootstrap approach to hypothesis testing we prefer to directly derive p -values, but often use Monte-Carlo because the finite sample distributions cannot be derived.

Question 5. We have a regression model

$$y_t = x_t' \beta + \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \sim \text{NID}(0, \sigma^2).$$

We wish to test $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_0$ at level α and opt for the t -statistic $T_n(\vec{Y}) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_{1,OLS} - \beta_0}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_{1,OLS})}}$.

Let $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$ be the OLS estimator for β and let $\hat{\beta}$ be an estimator for β under the null, i.e. $\beta_1 = 0$. Choose one of the following test procedures and **explain why the other answers perform worse**.

- A. Calculate the observed t -statistic $T_n(\vec{y})$ and reject H_0 if $|T_n(\vec{y})| > c$, where c is the $1 - \alpha/2$ quantile of the t_{n-1} distribution.
- B. Use a pairs bootstrap to obtain a p -value and reject H_0 if this p -value is below α .
- C. Calculate residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_t = y_t - x_t' \hat{\beta}$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$ and simulate $\varepsilon_1^*, \dots, \varepsilon_n^*$ from their empirical distribution function. Then derive $y_t^* = x_t' \hat{\beta}_{OLS} + \varepsilon_t^*$ and $t^* = T_n(\vec{y}^*)$. Redo this B times and use Monte-Carlo testing to obtain a p -value and reject H_0 if this p -value is below α .
- D. Calculate residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_t = y_t - x_t' \hat{\beta}$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$ and simulate $\varepsilon_1^*, \dots, \varepsilon_n^*$ from their empirical distribution function. Then derive $y_t^* = x_t' \hat{\beta} + \varepsilon_t^*$ and $t^* = T_n(\vec{y}^*)$. Redo this B times and use Monte-Carlo testing to obtain a p -value and reject H_0 if this p -value is below α .

Question 6. We have an autoregressive model of order three:

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \phi_3 y_{t-3} + \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2).$$

We wish to test for a unit root and thus rewrite the model as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta y_t &= (\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 - 1)y_{t-1} - (\phi_2 + \phi_3)\Delta y_{t-1} - \phi_3\Delta y_{t-2} + \varepsilon_t \\ &= \beta y_{t-1} - (\phi_2 + \phi_3)\Delta y_{t-1} - \phi_3\Delta y_{t-2} + \varepsilon_t, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta y_t = y_t - y_{t-1}$. To test $H_0: \beta = 0$ versus $H_1: \beta \neq 0$ we use the t -statistic

$$T_n(\vec{Y}) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_{OLS}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_{OLS})}}.$$

Let $(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}, \hat{\phi}_{2,OLS}, \hat{\phi}_{3,OLS})$ be the OLS estimators of the rewritten model and let $(\hat{\phi}_2, \hat{\phi}_3)$ be the OLS estimators under the null hypothesis. Choose the best option out of the following simulation procedures to obtain a simulated test statistic and **explain why the other answers perform worse**.

- A. Calculate residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_t = \Delta y_t + (\hat{\phi}_2 + \hat{\phi}_3)\Delta y_{t-1} + \hat{\phi}_3\Delta y_{t-2}$ for $3 \leq t \leq n$. Simulate $\varepsilon_1^*, \dots, \varepsilon_n^*$ from their empirical distribution function. Derive recursively $y_t^* = (1 - \hat{\phi}_2 - \hat{\phi}_3)y_{t-1}^* + \hat{\phi}_2 y_{t-2}^* + \hat{\phi}_3 y_{t-3}^* + \varepsilon_t^*$ and calculate $t^* = T_n(\vec{y}^*)$.
- B. Calculate residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_t = \Delta y_t + (\hat{\phi}_2 + \hat{\phi}_3)\Delta y_{t-1} + \hat{\phi}_3\Delta y_{t-2}$ for $4 \leq t \leq n$. Simulate $\varepsilon_1^*, \dots, \varepsilon_n^*$ from their empirical distribution function. Derive recursively $y_t^* = (1 - \hat{\phi}_2 - \hat{\phi}_3)y_{t-1}^* + \hat{\phi}_2 y_{t-2}^* + \hat{\phi}_3 y_{t-3}^* + \varepsilon_t^*$ and calculate $t^* = T_n(\vec{y}^*)$.
- C. Calculate residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_t = \Delta y_t + (\hat{\phi}_2 + \hat{\phi}_3)\Delta y_{t-1} + \hat{\phi}_3\Delta y_{t-2}$ for $3 \leq t \leq n$. Simulate $\varepsilon_1^*, \dots, \varepsilon_n^*$ from their empirical distribution function. Derive recursively $y_t^* = (1 - \hat{\phi}_{2,OLS} - \hat{\phi}_{3,OLS})y_{t-1}^* + \hat{\phi}_{2,OLS}y_{t-2}^* + \hat{\phi}_{3,OLS}y_{t-3}^* + \varepsilon_t^*$ and calculate $t^* = T_n(\vec{y}^*)$.
- D. Calculate residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_t = \Delta y_t + (\hat{\phi}_2 + \hat{\phi}_3)\Delta y_{t-1} + \hat{\phi}_3\Delta y_{t-2}$ for $4 \leq t \leq n$. Simulate $\varepsilon_1^*, \dots, \varepsilon_n^*$ from their empirical distribution function. Derive recursively $y_t^* = (1 - \hat{\phi}_{2,OLS} - \hat{\phi}_{3,OLS})y_{t-1}^* + \hat{\phi}_{2,OLS}y_{t-2}^* + \hat{\phi}_{3,OLS}y_{t-3}^* + \varepsilon_t^*$ and calculate $t^* = T_n(\vec{y}^*)$.

Question 7. Pick one of the following statements and **explain why the other answers are incorrect**.

- A. Any pivot is also an asymptotic pivot.
- B. Parametric models are only useful if we don't know the population $\overset{\circ}{F}$. If $\overset{\circ}{F}$ is known then it's better to use a nonparametric model.
- C. Nonparametric models always outperform parametric models, because it is more likely that the true data generating process is contained in the model.
- D. Any asymptotic pivot is also a pivot.

Question 8. Let $Y_1 \dots, Y_n$ be a vector of random variables from an exponential statistical model $\{1 - e^{-\theta y} \mid \theta > 0\}$. It is well known that the maximum likelihood estimator for $\vartheta = c(F) = 1/\mathbb{E}(Y) = \theta_0$ is given by $T_n(\vec{Y}) = 1/\bar{Y}$. The distribution of $T_n(\vec{Y})$ depends on ϑ and so we are unable to determine the bias of $T_n(\vec{Y})$. As an approximation we decide to use the parametric bootstrap function $\hat{F}_n \sim \text{Exp}(1/\bar{y})$ and derive

$$\text{Bias}(T_n(\vec{Y}), \hat{F}_n) = \mathbb{E}(T_n(\vec{Y}) \mid \hat{F}_n) - c(\hat{F}_n).$$

Choose one of the following options and **show why it is the correct answer**. You are allowed to use that $\mathbb{E}(1/\bar{Y} \mid \overset{\circ}{F}) = \frac{n\theta_0}{n-1}$.

- A. $\text{Bias}(T_n(\vec{Y}), E_n) = \frac{n\bar{y}}{n-1} - \frac{1}{\bar{y}}$.
- B. $\text{Bias}(T_n(\vec{Y}), E_n) = \frac{n\bar{y}}{n-1} - \bar{y}$.
- C. $\text{Bias}(T_n(\vec{Y}), E_n) = \frac{n/\bar{y}}{n-1} - \frac{1}{\bar{y}}$.
- D. $\text{Bias}(T_n(\vec{Y}), E_n) = \frac{n/\bar{y}}{n-1} - \bar{y}$.