

Exam Computational Methods in Econometrics

Minor Applied Econometrics
Faculty of Economics and Business Administration
Thursday, October 24, 2019

Exam:	Computational Methods in Econometrics
Code:	E_EOR3.CME
Coordinator:	M.H.C. Nientker
Date:	October 24, 2019
Time:	8:45
Duration:	2 hours
Number of questions:	8
Type of questions:	Multiple choice & open
Answer in:	English or Dutch
Grades:	Made public within 10 working days
Number of pages:	4, including front page

IMPORTANT

This exam has two different types of multiple choice questions. I expect answers to be as follows.

- **“show why it is the correct answer”**: Report your choice and then fully derive why that answer is the correct one. Make sure to show all your steps.
- **“explain why the other answers perform worse”**: Report your choice and then explain for each of the other alternatives why it is a worse idea than your choice.

Good luck!

Question 1. We have a regression model with autoregressive errors

$$y_t = x_t' \beta + \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_t = \rho \varepsilon_{t-1} + \nu_t, \quad \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n \sim \text{NID}(0, \sigma_\nu^2).$$

To test $H_0: \rho = 0$ versus $H_1: \rho \neq 0$ we use the test statistic

$$T(\vec{Y}) = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}}{\sum_{t=2}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}^2},$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon} = (I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')y = (I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')\varepsilon$. Choose one of the following options and **show why it is the correct answer**.

- A. The test statistic $T_n(\vec{Y})$ is not an asymptotic pivot under the null.
- B. The test statistic $T_n(\vec{Y})$ is a pivot under the null.
- C. The test statistic $T_n(\vec{Y})$ is an asymptotic pivot under the null, but not a pivot under the null.

SOLUTION. The correct answer is B. as $\hat{\varepsilon} = (I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')\varepsilon := M_X \varepsilon$ and thus $\hat{\varepsilon}_t = r_t \varepsilon$, where r_t is the t 'th row of M_X . Under the null we have $\varepsilon_t = \nu_t$, which we replace by $\nu = \sigma u$, where $u \sim N(0, I_n)$, then we can rewrite

$$T(\vec{Y}) = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^n (r_t \sigma u)(r_{t-1} \sigma u)}{\sum_{t=2}^n (r_{t-1} \sigma u)^2} = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^n (r_t u)(r_{t-1} u)}{\sum_{t=2}^n (r_{t-1} u)^2}.$$

Since r_t only depends on X and u does not depend on any of the parameters in the model we can conclude that $T(\vec{Y})$ is a pivot under the null.

2 points for $\nu = \sigma u$ with correct distribution for u , 1 point for writing $\varepsilon_t = \nu_t$ under the null, 1 point for rewriting $\hat{\varepsilon}_t = r_t \varepsilon$, 1 point for rewriting the test statistic, 1 point for conclusion.

Question 2. Let Y be a random variable with known cumulative distribution function F . We want to estimate the characteristic $\vartheta = \mathbb{E}(Y) = c(F)$ and thus simulate y_1^*, \dots, y_B^* from F to construct the Monte-Carlo distribution function \hat{F}_B . As an estimator we then use $\hat{\vartheta} = c(\hat{F}_B)$. Choose one of the following options and **show why it is the correct answer**.

A. $\hat{\vartheta} = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B y_i^*$.

B. $\hat{\vartheta} = y_{((B+1)/2)}^*$.

C. $\hat{\vartheta} = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B (y_i^*)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B y_i^* \right)^2$.

D. $\hat{\vartheta} = \min\{y_1^*, \dots, y_B^*\}$.

SOLUTION. The correct answer is A. Since $c(F) = E(Y)$, where $Y \sim F$ we have that $\hat{\vartheta} = \mathbb{E}X$, where $X \sim \hat{F}_B$. The MCDF is a discrete distribution with mass $\frac{1}{B}$ at each of the simulated values y_i^* . It therefore follows that

$$\mathbb{E}X = \sum_{i=1}^B y_i^* P(X = y_i^*) = \sum_{i=1}^B y_i^* \frac{1}{B} = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B y_i^*.$$

2 points for understanding what $\hat{\vartheta}$ is in terms of \hat{F}_B , 2 points for noting the correct distribution of \hat{F}_B , 2 points for correctly deriving the expectation of this distribution.

Question 3. Suppose that we have a pivotal test statistic $T_n(\vec{Y})$ with one sided rejection region $R_T = (c, \infty)$ for a test at level α . We then perform a Monte Carlo test with B simulations to obtain t_1^*, \dots, t_B^* which together with $T_n(\vec{Y})$ form an ordered row $t_{(1)}^*, \dots, t_{(B+1)}^*$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be the largest integer such that $\frac{k}{B+1} \leq \alpha$, then the Monte-Carlo approximated rejection region is $\hat{R}_T = (\hat{c}, \infty)$ where $\hat{c} = t_{(B+1-k)}^*$. Choose one of the following options and **show why it is the correct answer**. Hint: derive the probability $P(T_n \in \hat{R}_T \mid H_0)$.

- A. We prefer to choose B such that $\alpha(B+1) \in \mathbb{N}$.
- B. We prefer to choose B such that $\frac{B+1}{\alpha/2} \in \mathbb{N}$.
- C. We prefer to choose B such that $\frac{B+1}{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$.
- D. We prefer to choose B such that $(\alpha/2)(B+1) \in \mathbb{N}$.

SOLUTION. The correct answer is A. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} P(T_n \in \hat{R}_T \mid H_0) &= P(T_n \geq t_{(B+1-k)}^* \mid H_0) \\ &= P(T \text{ is among the } k \text{ largest observed values} \mid H_0) = \frac{k}{B+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows because under the null each test statistic is iid and thus any order of the $B+1$ test statistics is equally probable. We want a test at level α , which means that we want

$$P(T_n \in \hat{R}_T \mid H_0) = \frac{k}{B+1} = \alpha,$$

which implies that $\alpha(B+1) = k = \lfloor \alpha(B+1) \rfloor$. This equality only holds if $\alpha(B+1) \in \mathbb{N}$.

2 points for deriving the rejection probability, 2 points for realising that we want the test to be of level α , 1 point for obtaining the correct equality, 1 point for the conclusion.

Question 4. We have a regression model $y_t = x_t'\beta + \varepsilon_t$, where $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ are all independent and conditional moments are given by

$$\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_t \mid x_t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\varepsilon_t \mid x_t) = 1 + x_t^2 \quad \forall t \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

To test $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_0$ at level α we use the t -statistic $T_n(\vec{Y}) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_0}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}}$. Choose one of the following test procedures and **explain why the other answers perform worse**.

- A. Calculate the observed t -statistic $T_n(\vec{y})$ and reject H_0 if $|T_n(\vec{y})| > c$, where c is the $1 - \alpha$ quantile of the t_{n-1} distribution.
- B. Use a nonparametric residual bootstrap to obtain a p -value and reject H_0 if this p -value is below α .
- C. Use a wild bootstrap to obtain a p -value and reject H_0 if this p -value is below α .
- D. Use a parametric residual bootstrap, by simulating $\varepsilon_1^*, \dots, \varepsilon_n^* \sim N(0, 1)$, to obtain a p -value and reject H_0 if this p -value is below α .

SOLUTION. The correct answer is C as the wild bootstrap is designed to work with heteroskedastic data. Option A is an exact test that assumes that the test statistic is t_{n-1} distributed. This is not the case here because we have heteroskedasticity i.e. $\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\varepsilon_t \mid x_t)$ is not constant for all t and we don't know if the innovations are Gaussian. Therefore the method is inexact and unlikely to work well. Option B also fails to properly mimic the original DGP because it does not match simulated ε_t^* with their original x_t . Since $\text{Var}(\varepsilon_t \mid x_t)$ depends on x_t we also expect this to not work well. Option D is wrong for multiple reasons, like the nonparametric bootstrap it misses the heteroskedastic structure. Moreover, there is no basis for using the normal distribution for the innovations.

2 points for each correct explanation why a method is incorrect.

Question 5. We have a regression model

$$y_t = x'_t \beta + \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma^2).$$

We wish to test $H_0: \overset{\circ}{\beta}_1 = \beta_0$ at level α and opt for the t -statistic $T_n(\vec{Y}) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_0}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}}$. To perform the test we use a nonparametric residual bootstrap approach. Let $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$ be the OLS estimator for $\overset{\circ}{\beta}$ and let $\hat{\beta}$ be an estimator for $\overset{\circ}{\beta}$ under the null, i.e. $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0$. Choose the best option out of the following simulation procedures to obtain a simulated test statistic and **explain why the other answers perform worse**.

- A. Calculate residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_t = y_t - x'_t \hat{\beta}_{OLS}$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$ and simulate $\varepsilon_1^*, \dots, \varepsilon_n^*$ from their empirical distribution function. Then derive $y_t^* = x'_t \hat{\beta} + \varepsilon_t^*$ and $t^* = T_n(\vec{y}^*)$.
- B. Calculate residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_t = y_t - x'_t \hat{\beta}$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$ and simulate $\varepsilon_1^*, \dots, \varepsilon_n^*$ from their empirical distribution function. Then derive $y_t^* = x'_t \hat{\beta}_{OLS} + \varepsilon_t^*$ and $t^* = T_n(\vec{y}^*)$.
- C. Calculate residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_t = y_t - x'_t \hat{\beta}$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$ and simulate $\varepsilon_1^*, \dots, \varepsilon_n^*$ from their empirical distribution function. Then derive $y_t^* = x'_t \hat{\beta} + \varepsilon_t^*$ and $t^* = T_n(\vec{y}^*)$.
- D. Calculate residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_t = y_t - x'_t \hat{\beta}_{OLS}$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$ and simulate $\varepsilon_1^*, \dots, \varepsilon_n^*$ from their empirical distribution function. Then derive $y_t^* = x'_t \hat{\beta}_{OLS} + \varepsilon_t^*$ and $t^* = T_n(\vec{y}^*)$.

SOLUTION. The correct answer is C, because we try to approximate the unknown population $\overset{\circ}{F}$ by a cdf $\hat{F} \in H_0$. Under the null we have $\overset{\circ}{\beta}_1 = 0$ and $\beta_{OLS,1}$ is not necessarily equal to zero. Therefore we use the restricted maximizer $\hat{\beta}$ that does belong to the null space.

3 points for mentioning we want to approximate under the null points, 3 points for explaining that in that case $\hat{\beta}$ is the correct parameter to use.

Question 6. We have a regression model $y_t = x_t'\beta + \varepsilon_t$, where $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_t \mid x_t) = 0$ and $\text{Var}(\varepsilon_t \mid x_t) = 1 + \varepsilon_{t-1}^2$ for all $1 \leq t \leq n$. To test $H_0: \overset{\circ}{\beta}_1 = \beta_0$ at level α we use the t -statistic $T_n(\vec{Y}) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_0}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)}}$. Choose one of the following test procedures and **explain why the other answers perform worse**.

- A. Calculate the observed t -statistic $T_n(\vec{y})$ and reject H_0 if $|T_n(\vec{y})| > c$, where c is the $1 - \alpha$ quantile of the t_{n-1} distribution.
- B. Use a pairs bootstrap to obtain a p -value and reject H_0 if this p -value is below α .
- C. Use a sieve bootstrap to obtain a p -value and reject H_0 if this p -value is below α .
- D. Use a wild bootstrap to obtain a p -value and reject H_0 if this p -value is below α .

SOLUTION. The correct answer is C as the sieve bootstrap is designed to work with autocorrelated data. Option A is an exact test that assumes that the test statistic is t_{n-1} distributed. This is not the case here because we have autocorrelation i.e. the epsilons are not independent. Moreover, we don't know if the innovations are Gaussian. Therefore the method is inexact and unlikely to work well. Option B also fails to properly mimic the original DGP because it simulates the pairs (x_t, ε_t) in a random order and thus does not capture the dependence structure between epsilons. Option D is a method to capture heteroskedasticity, but distorts the dependence structure by adding additional randomness.

2 points for each correct explanation why a method is incorrect.

Question 7. Pick one of the following statements and **explain why the other answers are incorrect**.

- A. A test statistic cannot be a pivot under the null if the full statistical model is nonparametric.
- B. Nuisance parameters in a model are parameters that are unobserved, but do not influence the distribution of T_n under the null.
- C. To perform a Monte-Carlo testing procedure we need our test statistic to be an asymptotic pivot.
- D. A pivot is a test statistic whose distribution is the same for all possible data generating processes in the model.

SOLUTION. The correct answer is D. A is incorrect, see the JB-test for instance. B is incorrect because nuisance parameters in a model are parameters that are unobserved and also influence the distribution of T_n under the null. C is incorrect because a Monte-Carlo testing procedure requires the test statistic to be a pivot.

Question 8. Suppose that we have data $Y_1 \dots, Y_n$ from an unknown population $\overset{\circ}{F}$ and that we have estimated some characteristic $\vartheta = c(\overset{\circ}{F})$ with some estimator $\hat{\vartheta} = T_n(\bar{Y})$. We expect that our estimator might be biased and so we would like to apply a correction using the empirical distribution function \hat{F}_n . Let $\vartheta_1^*, \dots, \vartheta_B^*$ be test statistics obtained by simulating y_1^*, \dots, y_n^* from \hat{F}_n and setting $\vartheta^* = T_n(\bar{y}^*)$. Choose one of the following options and **show why it is the correct answer**.

- A. The bias correction is given by $\hat{\vartheta}_{BR} = \hat{\vartheta} - 2\frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B \vartheta_i^*$.
- B. The bias correction is given by $\hat{\vartheta}_{BR} = \hat{\vartheta} - \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B \vartheta_i^*$.
- C. The bias correction is given by $\hat{\vartheta}_{BR} = 2\hat{\vartheta} - 2\frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B \vartheta_i^*$.
- D. The bias correction is given by $\hat{\vartheta}_{BR} = 2\hat{\vartheta} - \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B \vartheta_i^*$.

SOLUTION. The correct answer is D. Note that we can approximate the bias with the EDF as

$$\text{Bias}(\hat{\vartheta}, \overset{\circ}{F}) \approx \text{Bias}(\hat{\vartheta}, \hat{F}_n) = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\vartheta} \mid \hat{F}_n) - c(\hat{F}_n).$$

We can approximate this expectation again with our simulations as

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\vartheta} \mid \hat{F}_n) \approx \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B \vartheta_i^*.$$

It follows that if $\hat{\vartheta} - c(\hat{F}_n)$, then

$$\text{Bias}(\hat{\vartheta}, \overset{\circ}{F}) \approx \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B \vartheta_i^* - \hat{\vartheta}$$

and thus

$$\hat{\vartheta} - \widehat{\text{Bias}}(\hat{\vartheta}, \overset{\circ}{F}) = \hat{\vartheta} - (\bar{\vartheta}^* - \hat{\vartheta}) = 2\hat{\vartheta} - \bar{\vartheta}^*.$$

2 points for the first approximation, 2 points for the second approximation, 2 points for the last rewriting.