757 69	N. A. Maria 1981	
Student name:		
Student number:		

Exam Capita Selecta Business Informatics (CSBI) 28/05/2008, 12:00-14:45

Instructions (please read carefully):

- This is a closed book exam. It is not allowed to consult other materials than this exam. Switch off mobile phones and store them in a closed bag.
- Write the answers on this document. Use the textbox after each question for your answer. It is not allowed to write outside the box.
- It is very important that we can read your handwriting.
- State on every sheet your name and student number
- The language for answers is English or Dutch.
- The grade for this exam is the sum of the scored points divided by 10.
- The grade for the course CSBI = 0.3*presentation + 0.3*paper + 0.4*exam
- We publish the mark for the course CSBI in total. (so not the individual result for this exam)
- This exam contains 9 pages

Additionally:

- All rules for writing papers (as discussed during the CSBI lectures) apply also to the answers on exam questions.
- For the review question, it is strongly advised to make already notes of comments while reading the paper.

Success!

This exam contains one question about your own paper (40 points), and one review assignment of a paper (60 points).

uestion 1 (40 points) a. Concisely state the claim of your paper? (10 points)							
a. Concisely state the claim of your paper? (10 points)							

b. Criticize your own claim, by explaining how your claim can be improved? (15 points)

Student name:	
Student number:	
c Provide two	distinct arguments that refute your reasoning for your claim (and hence
refute your cl	laim). (15 points)
1	
2	

Question 2 (total 60 points)
Review the attached article by using the attached review form.

Student name:	
Student number:	

Nearshore outsourcing is more cost efficient than offshore outsourcing

Abstract

One of the reasons for companies to start with offshore outsourcing is to save money and thereby being more cost efficient than before doing so. In the last few years most companies, which saw the opportunities of outsourcing, realized they needed to conduct a thorough research whether offshore outsourcing is a viable alternative for the companies current solution. During this research nearshore outsourcing is mostly overlooked although it is a good alternative compared to offshore outsourcing. In this paper nearshore outsourcing and offshore outsourcing are, cost efficient wise, compared, to show that in certain situations nearshore outsourcing can be more cost efficient. This is done by looking at offshore outsourcing and nearshore outsourcing from three different views namely, distance and time zones, cultural differences and wages. The paper concludes with non-cost related factors which are different in offshore and nearshore countries that are also of importance in the process of making a decision between offshoring and nearshoring.

Introduction

To get a clear understanding of this paper it is important to be familiar with the terms outsourcing, nearshoring (nearshore outsourcing) and offshoring (offshore outsourcing). Outsourcing is delegating an internal non-core business process to an external company which is specialized in that process [10]. Nearshoring and offshoring are two types of outsourcing where nearshoring is to delegate it to a company which is in the same or near time zone and it is intra continental. We speak of offshoring when the work is delegated to a company when the gap between time zones is more than 3 hours, it is in another continent and there is a large difference between the cultures. However, sometimes there are some exceptions to these definitions. For example, the language spoken in South-Africa is similar to the language of The Netherlands. This could provide South-Africa as a nearshore company despite the intra continental location.

There are some significant cost issues that differs nearshoring from offshoring. These issues are discussed in this paper. First the differences in the distance and time zones which can increase the costs of managing a project will be discussed. Next is the difference in cultures and the culture costs between the country of origin and the near/offshore facilitator. The last cost related difference between nearshore and offshoring that is discussed in this paper are the costs savings by wages. After the cost differences are discussed non-cost related factors are introduced which should be considered when there is a need to choose between offshoring and nearshoring. At the end of this paper these issues are summarized and a conclusion is drawn.

The costs of distance & time zones

Since outsourcing has evolved from basic programming to currently supporting the business processes the amount of communication is increased. Also "nearshore practitioners stress the advantages of accessibility, real-time and face-to-face interactions in facilitating control and smooth operation" as mentioned by Abbott, P., Jones, M. [3]. These two reasons make the distance and time zone differences between the company's location and the outsourcing location a very important issue as mentioned by Rao, MT. [4]. "The importance of the time zone differences is a function of the level of communication required for the project."

There are various reasons why the difference in time zone will add costs. Most of these costs are not incidental but will occur during the whole project or working period.

Student name:			
Student number:			

The overlap of time by different time zones has an impact on planning a meeting. Employees of both countries will have to take in account that they can only arrange meetings in a limited time span per day cause of the difference in time zone. This will also make it harder to speak with people "on- the-fly".

The distance will also increase the costs. In general flights to intra-continental countries are more expensive then flights within the continent. Except the higher costs of intra-continental flights they are also longer. During a flight, an employee, in most cases, cannot work as efficient, which adds to the project costs.

Cultural differences are expensive

Culture is also a factor in the costs of outsourcing. While cultures can have very complex structures they can be defined as follows "everything a group thinks, says, does and makes – Its customs, language, material artefacts and shared systems of attitudes and feelings" Czinkota 171.

Because different cultures share different customs something which seems normal to one culture can be seen as unacceptable or even alien by another culture. For example in many Asian countries not agreeing or discussing a decision with a manager is shown as a sign of disrespect, while in western countries in some cases this might be applauded, as it might give new perspectives to the manager which he or she didn't think off.

This difficulty in communication can decrease the efficiency of employees and increase costs to the project, because more communication between the client and the outsourcing party is required. This difficulty can also increase risks in such a way, that projects have known to have failed merely on the difference in culture [1]. The difficulty in communication however, is an obstacle that in certain situations can be resolved by adapting and understanding the other culture. Adapting to a culture takes time which adds to the cost of a project. Therefore it is often considered only a valid option for long term projects or a large number of short projects because it's not cost efficient enough for a single or a few short term projects. This is because the costs to adept to each other culture are not continuous but incidental so the longer the outsourcing takes the more cost efficient the adapting process will become since the adapting process only has to take place once.

Even if certain cultural differences remain between nearshore countries, the difference in culture is often less of an obstacle, making the communication between the different parties easier, more time efficient and making the adapting process easier.

Wages can be misleading

Cost cutting, especially the reduction of wage costs, is often noted as the main motive by many firms for offshoring or nearshoring their services. This is further supported by numerous surveys, such as that by the DIHK [1] [5]. In a study conducted by Moczadlo [6], 92% of the interviewed responded that lower wage were a "very important" reason for their offshoring decision. However, are these wages as transparent that we can compare them, directly, with each other?

An average application developer in New York has an annual compensation of \$72.000,- where an average nearshore application developer has an annual compensation of \$50.000,- and lastly an application developer from India has an annual compensation of \$30.000,-. Based on these numbers [2] you could say an application developer in India only costs 41% compared to an American application developer. These wages are misleading though. The reason they are misleading is because of the productivity level which is not being taken into account. According

Student name:	
Student number:	

to KLG [2] it is "very rare to find organizations which experience the same or comparable productivity rate to that of the location from which the jobs were deployed" when offshoring certain operations. Not only just after the operations have been established but also for the years to come. "In most cases, estimates range from 35% to 50% productivity relative to the origination point" KLG [2]. In contrast to nearshore locations where "it is rare to find employers who have carefully chosen nearshore locations that have not achieved productivity levels equivalent to that of the origination point" KLG [2]. Research has shown us that productivity levels at offshore locations do increase over time but after several years it still isn't near the productivity level of nearshore locations.

Non-cost related factors to consider

Factors that are not cost related should also be considered when choosing between near- or offshoring. One of these factors is the intellectual protection laws of a country, which is a major concern for certain companies. In 2004 the global damage caused by intellectual property theft was estimated at 600 billion US dollars [9]. Unlike many western countries only a few offshore countries have laws to protect companies or individuals against theft or espionage of intellectual properties. The offshore countries that do have such laws in place, often have trouble to enforce such laws when they are violated [2].

Terrorism attacks, civil war and coups can also be considered a non-cost related factor. Unfortunately this is a factor to consider worldwide, but does occur more frequent in certain offshore regions. India for instance came second in last years ranking of the 50 most risk full countries to do business in. Above countries such as Afghanistan [8]. For certain companies this can be a factor to consider when choosing outsourcing, as it can be a potential risk for the success of a project.

Conclusion

Many companies see offshore outsourcing as the way to be more cost efficient. Certain effects can negatively influence the cost savings of offshore outsourcing, making the cost saving less than expected or when compared to nearshore outsourcing.

The difference in time and culture can be the cause of extra costs. This is caused by the extra time that has to be invested because of the difficulty in communication by which more personnel is required to close this (communication) gap. Nearshoring could offer an attractive alternative in these cases. The time differences are smaller and, often the cultures of the country of origin and the nearshore country appear less foreign to each other than when comparing it with the culture of an offshore country.

There are also other situations where nearshoring is a good alternative due to the productivity level, which is significantly higher in nearshore countries than at offshore locations. This means that companies will need more people at offshore locations to achieve the same productivity as at nearshore locations, which will add extra costs thus making nearshoring more attractive cost efficient wise in certain situations. All these factors can make nearshoring more cost efficient than outsourcing in certain situations.

References

- [1] Trampel, J., (2004): To Offshore of Nearshore IT services? An investigation using transaction cost theory.
- [2] KLG, (2005): Nearshore vs. Offshore Sourcing: Relative risks, rewards, and economics outcomes, Current Trends in Global Sourcing
- [3] Abbott, P., Jones, M., (2002): The Importance of being nearest: Nearshore software outsourcing and globalisation discourse, The Importance of being nearest: Part 6: Globalisation, Development, and Space, pp. 357 397

1000	A CARLO CALL TAX CALLAND AND A CALL		
Student name:			
Student number:			
The state of the s		<u> </u>	

- [4] Rao, MT., (2004): Key issues for global IT sourcing: Country and individual factors, ISM Journal, Summer, pp. 16 21
- [5] DIHK, (2003): Produktionsverlagerun als Element der Globalisierungsstrategie von unternehmen. Ergebnisse einer Unternehmensbefragung
- [6] Moczaldo, R., (2002): Chancen un Risken des Offshore-Development. Empirische Analayse der Erfahrungen deutscher Unternehmen.
- [7] Czinkota, M.R., Ronkainen, I.A., and Moffett, M.H., (1996). International Business (fourth edition), The Dryden press, Fort Worth, TX.
- [8] JLT, (2005): Global Terrorism Ranking, India and Russia ranked higher than Afghanistan, US makes list of Top 50 highest risk countries.
- [9]Department of Commerce, (2005), Commerce secretary Carlos Gutierrez unveils initiatives to fight intellectual property theft.
- [10] Wikipedia Outsourcing, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Outsourcing

Student name:		
Student number:		

Paper 1

Review 1

Title of Manuscript: Nearshore outsourcing is more cost efficient than offshore outsourcing

Wł	nich category	descri	bes this manu	script?				
	Practice/Appl Study/Experie Research/Tecl Survey/Tutori Other (please	ence Rehnolog al/Hov	eport sy v-To	·····				
Ar	e the title, the	abstra	act and the in	troduct	ion app	ropriat	te? Plea	ase comment.
	le Yes No		Abstract Yes No	<u> </u>	Intro Yes No	duction		
Ex	plain:			• • • • • • • • •				
Dis	scuss the conti	ributio	on made by th	e manu	script:			
Ra	te the paper i	n each	of the follow	ing area	as (1 = l	ow ; 4 :	= high)	:
• • • •							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Explain
 Bu	siness content							

Student number:					
Ottotal I I allia o I I		<i>4.</i>			
			•••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••
					•••••
	1_	2	3	4	Explain
riginality of claim					
tatements are exaggerated					
larity and Organisation					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
/riting style/Grammar					
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••	
COLDA					
<u>COMM</u>	ENTS ON				
-		<u>SCIEN</u>	TIFIC	REASC	<u>DNING</u>
ate the paper in each of the following		<u>SCIEN</u>	TIFIC	REASC	<u>DNING</u>
ate the paper in each of the foll		SCIEN as (1 =)	TIFIC low; 4	REASC	<u>DNING</u>
ate the paper in each of the foll	lowing area	SCIEN as (1 =)	TIFIC	REASC = high)	<u>ONING</u> :
Cate the paper in each of the following the	lowing area	SCIEN as (1 =)	TIFIC low; 4	REASC = high)	<u>ONING</u> :
Cate the paper in each of the following the	lowing area	SCIEN as (1 = 1	TIFIC	REASO = high) 4 □	ONING: Explain
Claim: Vell-formulated All concepts are explained Veneric (1) vs. concrete (4)	lowing area	2	TIFIC	REASC = high) 4 □	DNING: Explain
Rate the paper in each of the following the	lowing area	2	TIFIC low; 4	REASC = high) 4 □	DNING: Explain
Claim: Vell-formulated All concepts are explained Generic (1) vs. concrete (4)	lowing area	2	TIFIC low; 4	REASC = high) 4 □	DNING: Explain
Claim: Vell-formulated All concepts are explained Generic (1) vs. concrete (4)	lowing area	2	TIFIC	REASC = high) 4 □	DNING Explain
Claim: Vell-formulated Vell-formulated Vell-formulated Vell-formulated Vell-formulated Vell-formulated Vell-formulated Vell-formulated Vell-formulated	lowing area	2	TIFIC low; 4	REASC = high) 4 □	DNING: Explain
Rate the paper in each of the following: Vell-formulated All concepts are explained Generic (1) vs. concrete (4)	lowing area	2	TIFIC low; 4	REASC = high) 4 □	DNING: Explain Explain

Student name:	
Student number:	

Shallow (1) vs. profound					Explain
Enough sound examples	<u> </u>			a	
			٥		
Conclusion: Based on logical reasoning	1	2	3	4	Explain
Contains new elements (1=yes, 4=no)					
Does the manuscript contain sufficier	nt and a	nppropi	riate rel	ference	s? Please comment.
Sufficient references	NO			YES	Explain
References in conference style					
References where appropriate					
	oaper:				