

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam
Faculty of Economics and Business Administration

Programs:	M.Sc. Finance, M.Sc. Quantitative Finance
Exam:	Investments 4.1
Course code:	60412040
Date:	Dec 12, 2007
Time:	12:00 – 14:45
Duration:	2 hours, 45 minutes
Parts:	The exam has 4 questions, 18 subquestions.
Grading:	Each of 18 subquestions in the exam will be equally weighted. Perhaps redundantly: the written exam makes up 70% of your final grade. The remaining 30% is scored by the cases. The exam can be retaken. The cases cannot.
Results:	Results will be made known as soon as possible, but at the latest Wednesday, Jan 2, 2008.
Inspection:	You can inspect your marked exam papers Friday, January 11, 9:00am. The room will be announced via the monitor system.
Remark:	Be brief, but complete! Explanation: Provide complete answers (including computations where appropriate). Always provide motivation/explanation of your answer, even if this is not mentioned explicitly in the question. A short 'yes' or 'no' will never do as an answer. But also be concise/crisp in your answer, or it will take you too much time to write it down. Use your time efficiently.

**Scan for the (in your opinion)
easier questions first.
Good luck!**

This document has 6 pages (this page included)

If $X \sim N(m, s^2)$, then $E[\exp(X)] = E[e^X] = \exp(m + 0.5s^2)$.

If $X \sim N(\mu, V)$, then $w'X \sim N(w'\mu, w'Vw)$.

$$\text{cov}(X, Y) = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y],$$
$$\text{var}(X) = E[X^2] - E[X]^2,$$

$$\text{cov}(X, Y) = \text{cov}(X, E[Y|X])$$

$$X = \exp(\ln(X)); \quad \exp(X) \exp(Y) = \exp(X+Y); \quad \ln(X^a) = a \ln(X)$$

$$\frac{\partial \exp(x)}{\partial x} = \exp(x); \quad \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial x} = a \cdot x^{a-1}; \quad \frac{\partial a^x}{\partial x} = a^x \cdot \ln(a);$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln(x)}{\partial x} = x^{-1}; \quad \frac{\partial (c \cdot x + b)^a}{\partial x} = c \cdot a \cdot (c \cdot x + b)^{a-1}$$

Q1:

In a world where investors all have mean variance preferences, work out the allocation – equilibrium – pricing – performance cycle. In particular,

- write down the objective function of a mean-variance optimizer in a world with **two** risky assets, and 1 riskfree asset, no short-sale restrictions. Also state the first order conditions *and* derive from these the optimal asset allocation.
- Show from your derivation that two-fund separation holds and how. What does this imply for the real-life fact that there are many different mutual funds available on the market?
- Show that in equilibrium, the CAPM pricing equations hold.
- Describe briefly the Jensen's alpha, Sharpe ratio, and Treynor ratio and their differences.

Q2:

- Explain how to compute the Carhart momentum factor for a sample of 350 European stocks.

- b. You have 3 products that have the following properties in a Fama-French-Carhart style regression (regressions based on monthly data).

	Constant term	β for excess market return	β for size factor	β for value factor	β for momentum factor	St.dev. of regression residuals
Product 1	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.1%
Product 2	-0.01	-0.30	0.00	0.00	1.10	1.5%
Product 3	0.01	0.10	0.00	0.00	-0.90	0.8%

The covariance matrix of the four factors in the regression is

	Market	Size	value	momentum
Market	0.29%	0.06%	0.04%	0.12%
Size	0.06%	0.11%	0.01%	0.02%
Value	0.04%	0.01%	0.13%	0.02%
momentum	0.12%	0.02%	0.02%	0.21%

The means of the factors are

Market	size	value	momentum
0.65%	0.19%	0.49%	0.55%

What is the systematic risk of product 2?
What is its idiosyncratic risk?


Q3:

- a. For an agent that maximizes the sum of expected utilities of consumption now and consumption at $t=1$, derive the first order conditions. Relate the previous answer to the fundamental asset pricing equation (FAPE), and state the FAPE in its form of expected excess returns of individual assets.

- b. Remember: if $X \sim N(m, s^2)$, then $E[\exp(X)] = \exp(m + 0.5s^2)$. Assume the stochastic discount factor M is lognormally distributed. Also the risky market return $1+R$ is lognormally distributed. In particular, $\ln(1+R) \sim N(0.08, 0.04)$, $\ln(M) \sim N(-0.02, 0.0001)$, and $\text{correlation}(\ln(1+R), \ln(M)) = -25\%$. What is the riskfree rate in equilibrium?
- c. Assuming your previous answer is correct, does the FAPE hold for the market return? What should your action now be if you believe that the pricing model is correct?

[CONTINUE WITH PART (d) ON NEXT PAGE]

- d. A stock price has the following properties over the first and second period.



The riskfree rate is 0%. Probability of +10% or -8% at $t=1$ are $\frac{1}{2}$. The reward risk ratio (i.e. expected *return* of the risky asset divided by *return* variance) over the second period (from $t=1$ to $t=2$) is given by μ/σ^2 and differs after the positive and negative return over the first period, respectively. The expected return is 1% per period, throughout.

The investor is a mean-variance optimizer with risk aversion parameter 2, so $\max EW_2 - 2\text{var}(W_2)$, where W_2 is end-of-period-2 wealth.

Assume the myopic asset demand for a one-period investor is 30.5% in the risky asset.

Derive the risky asset demand for a two-period investor that can (dynamically) rebalance at $t=1$. [the final answer need not be a number, but can also be a formula]

- e. Is there a positive or a negative hedging demand? Explain the intuition.

Q4:

- a. Give the definition of Value-at-Risk and of Conditional-Value-at-Risk.

- b. Explain two drawbacks of VaR.

- c. Interest rate changes are normally distributed, with mean 2 basis points and standard deviation 10 basis points over the coming period. You hold a callable bond, priced currently at €915, with modified duration of 3 years. What is the 95% VaR on your bond position approximately (in €)?
[If X is normally distributed, $P[X < -1.645] = 5\%$.]

- d. Given the world with 50 possible states (all with equal probability of 2%) and Arrow-Debreu securities with prices as in the following table. Assume you have €10,000 and you want to maximize expected return, given that your 90% VaR is zero, and given you cannot take short positions.
Write down the formal optimization problem you are solving.

- e. Derive your optimal portfolio. [you may buy fractions of the Arrow-Debreu securities, but you cannot take short positions.]
- f. How is your answer affected if you can take short positions in the 15th and 35th asset.

Prices of Arrow-Debreu securities (pay out 1 Euro in state x only, and 0 otherwise)

x	price	x	price	x	price	x	price	x	price
1	€ 0.001	11	€ 0.012	21	€ 0.017	31	€ 0.023	41	€ 0.029
2	€ 0.002	12	€ 0.013	22	€ 0.017	32	€ 0.023	42	€ 0.030
3	€ 0.002	13	€ 0.014	23	€ 0.017	33	€ 0.024	43	€ 0.031
4	€ 0.002	14	€ 0.014	24	€ 0.018	34	€ 0.026	44	€ 0.031
5	€ 0.004	15	€ 0.015	25	€ 0.018	35	€ 0.026	45	€ 0.031
6	€ 0.007	16	€ 0.016	26	€ 0.019	36	€ 0.027	46	€ 0.033
7	€ 0.007	17	€ 0.017	27	€ 0.020	37	€ 0.027	47	€ 0.034
8	€ 0.008	18	€ 0.017	28	€ 0.020	38	€ 0.028	48	€ 0.035
9	€ 0.010	19	€ 0.017	29	€ 0.021	39	€ 0.028	49	€ 0.035
10	€ 0.011	20	€ 0.017	30	€ 0.022	40	€ 0.028	50	€ 0.035

Sum of all the prices is €0.979.